arXiv:1604.02516v3 [math.AP] 23 Jun 2016

Global Well-posedness of the Relativistic Boltzmann Equation with Large Amplitude Initial Data

Yong Wang[‡*]

[‡]*Institute of Applied Mathematics, AMSS, CAS, Beijing 100190, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the global well-posedness of the relativistic Boltzmann equation with large amplitude initial data. We prove the global existence and uniqueness of mild solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation in both whole space and torus for a class of initial data with bounded velocity-weighted L^∞-norm under some additional smallness conditions on $L^1_x L^\infty_p$-norm, as well as defect mass, energy and entropy. It is noted that such initial datum allow to have large amplitude oscillation. Moreover, the asymptotic stability of the solutions is also investigated in the case of torus.

Keywords: Relativistic Boltzmann equation, relativistic Maxwellian, asymptotic behavior, large amplitude oscillations

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Preliminaries **7**

3 Local Existence Result **13**

4 Global Estimates **21**
4.1 Weighted L^∞-Estimates 21
4.2 $L^\infty_x L^1_p$-Estimates 27
4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1 31

5 Decay Estimates in Torus $\mathbb{T}^3$ **32**
5.1 Decay Estimate for Hard Potentials 33
5.2 Decay Estimate for Soft Potentials 35

6 Appendix **39**

1 Introduction

The relativistic Boltzmann equation is written as

$$p^\mu \partial_\mu F = \mathcal{C}(F, F), \tag{1.1}$$

where the collision operator $\mathcal{C}(F, F)$ takes the bilinear form

$$\mathcal{C}(F_1, F_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W(p, q|p', q')[F_1(p')F_2(q') - F_1(p)F_2(q)]\frac{dp'}{p'_0}\frac{dq'}{q'_0}\frac{dq}{q_0}, \tag{1.2}$$

Here the translation rate $W(p, q|p', q')$ is given by

$$W(p, q|p', q') = \frac{c}{2} s\sigma(g, \theta)\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu + q^\mu - p^{\mu'} - q^{\mu'}), \tag{1.3}$$

*Email addresses: yongwang@amss.ac.cn(Yong Wang)

where $\sigma(g,\theta)$ is the scattering kernel measuring the interactions between particles and Dirac function $\delta^{(4)}$ is the delta function of four variables. The constant $c>0$ is the light speed. The relativistic momentum of a particle is denoted by p^μ, $\mu=0,1,2,3$. We raise and lower the indices with the Minkowski metric $p^\mu=g_{\mu\nu}p_\nu$ where $g_{\mu\nu}=\text{diag}=(-1,1,1,1)$. The signature of the metric is $(-,+,+,+)$. For $p\in\mathbb{R}^3$, we write $p^\mu=(p_0,p)$ where $p_0\doteq\sqrt{|p|^2+c^2}$ is the energy of a relativistic particle with velocity p. We use the Einstein convection of implicit summation over repeated indices, then the Lorentz inner product is given by

$$p^\mu q_\mu=-p_0q_0+\sum_{i=1}^3 p_iq_i.$$

It is noted that the momentum of each particle is restricted to the mass shell $p^\mu p_\mu=-c^2$ with $p_0>0$. We refer the interesting readers to [6, 7, 10, 20, 42] for background of the relativistic kinetic theory.

The streaming term of relativistic Boltzmann equation is given by

$$p^\mu\partial_\mu=p_0\partial_t+cp\cdot\nabla_x.$$

Then we write the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.1) as

$$\partial_tF+\hat{p}\cdot\nabla_xF=Q(F,F),\tag{1.4}$$

where $F(t,x,p)$ is a distribution function for fast moving particles at time $t>0$, position $x\in\Omega=\mathbb{R}^3$ or $\mathbb{T}^3$ and particle velocity $p\in\mathbb{R}^3$. The collision operator $Q(F,F)\doteq\frac{1}{p_0}\mathcal{C}(F,F)$ and the normalized particle velocity $\hat{p}$ is given by

$$\hat{p}:=c\frac{p}{p_0}\equiv\frac{p}{\sqrt{1+|p|^2/c^2}}.$$

We impose the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.4) with the following initial data

$$F_0(t,x,p)|_{t=0}=F_0(x,p).\tag{1.5}$$

Now we define the quantity s, which is the square of the energy in the "center of momentum" system, $p+q=0$, as

$$s=s(p^\mu,q^\mu):=-(p^\mu+q^\mu)(p_\mu+q_\mu)=2(-p^\mu q_\mu+c^2)\geq 0.$$

The relative momentum $g\geq 0$ is defined as

$$g^2=g^2(p^\mu,q^\mu):=(p^\mu-q^\mu)(p_\mu-q_\mu)=2(-p^\mu q_\mu-c^2)\geq 0.$$

A direct calculation shows that $s=g^2+4c^2$. Conversation of momentum and energy for elastic collisions is described as

$$\begin{cases}p+q=p'+q',\\ p_0+q_0={p_0}'+{q_0}'.\end{cases}\tag{1.6}$$

The scattering angle θ is defined by

$$\cos\theta=\frac{(p^\mu-q^\mu)({p_\mu}'-{q_\mu}')}{g^2}.$$

This angle is well defined under (1.6), see [17].

The steady solutions of this model are the well known Jüttner solution, also known as the relativistic Maxwellian, i.e.,

$$J(p)=\frac{e^{-\frac{cp_0}{k_BT}}}{4\pi ck_BTK_2(c^2/(k_BT))},$$

where $K_2(\cdot)$ is the Bessel function $K_2(z)=\frac{z^2}{2}\int_1^\infty e^{-zt}(t^2-1)^{\frac{3}{2}}dt$, T is the temperature and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Throughout this paper, we normalize all the physical constants to be one, including the speed of light. Then the normalized relativistic Maxwellian becomes

$$J(p)=\frac{1}{4\pi}e^{-p_0}.$$

Using the Lorentz transformations as described in [7, 44], one can carry out the *center-of-momentum* expression to reduce the delta functions and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Q(F_1, F_2) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta)[F_1(p')F_2(q') - F_1(p)F_2(q)]d\omega dq \\ &:= Q_+(F_1,F_2) - Q_-(F_1,F_2), \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $v_\phi = v_\phi(p,q)$ is the Mϕller velocity

$$v_\phi = v_\phi(p,q) \doteq \sqrt{\Big|\frac{p}{p_0} - \frac{q}{q_0}\Big|^2 - \Big|\frac{p}{p_0}\times\frac{q}{q_0}\Big|^2} = \frac{g\sqrt{s}}{p_0 q_0}. \tag{1.8}$$

The post-collisional momentum in the expression (1.7) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} p' = \frac{1}{2}(p+q) + \frac{1}{2}g\Big(\omega + (\tilde{\gamma}-1)(p+q)\frac{(p+q)\cdot\omega}{|p+q|^2}\Big), \\ q' = \frac{1}{2}(p+q) - \frac{1}{2}g\Big(\omega + (\tilde{\gamma}-1)(p+q)\frac{(p+q)\cdot\omega}{|p+q|^2}\Big). \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} = (p_0+q_0)/\sqrt{s}$. And the energies are given by

$$\begin{cases} p_0' = \frac{1}{2}(p_0+q_0) + \frac{g}{2\sqrt{s}}(p+q)\cdot\omega, \\ q_0' = \frac{1}{2}(p_0+q_0) - \frac{g}{2\sqrt{s}}(p+q)\cdot\omega. \end{cases}$$

For other representation of the collision operator, we refer to [2, 20, 19].

For functions $h(p), g(p)$ with sufficient decay at infinity, the collision operator satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(h,g)dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} pQ(h,g)dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0 Q(h,g)dp \equiv 0.$$

Let F be a solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.4), formally, F satisfies the conservations of mass, momentum and energy

$$\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F(t,x,p) - J(p)]dpdx = \int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F_0 - J(p)]dpdx = M_0, \tag{1.9}$$

$$\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p[F(t,x,p) - J(p)]dpdx = \int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p[F_0 - J(p)]dpdx = \tilde{M}_0, \tag{1.10}$$

$$\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0[F(t,x,p) - J(p)]dpdx = \int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0[F_0 - J(p)]dpdx = E_0, \tag{1.11}$$

as well as the additional entropy inequality

$$\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F(t)\ln F(t) - J\ln J]dpdx \le \int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F_0\ln F_0 - J\ln J]dpdx. \tag{1.12}$$

A direct calculation shows, for any function satisfying (1.9), (1.11) and (1.12), that

$$\mathcal{E}(F(t)) := \int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big\{F(t)\ln F(t) - J\ln J\Big\}dpdx + [\ln(4\pi) - 1]M_0 + E_0 \ge 0, \tag{1.13}$$

see Lemma 2.6 below.

In 1940 Lichnerowicz-Marrot [36] derived the relativistic Boltzmann equation which is a fundamental model for relativistic particles whose speed is comparable to the speed of light. The local existence and uniqueness were firstly investigated by Bichteler [3] in the L^∞ framework under smallness conditions on the initial data. Dudyński and Ekiel-Jeżewska [12, 15] studied the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation. It is well known that the global existence of renormalized solution to the Newtonian Boltzmann equation was proved by DiPerna and Lions [9] for large initial data, the uniqueness of such solution, however, is unknown. In 1992, Dudyński and Ekiel-Jeżewska [16] obtained the global existence of the DiPerna-Lions renormalized solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation by using their results [13, 14]. For other interesting works, see [2, 34, 35, 53] and the references therein.

On the other hand, when the amplitude of initial data is small, there are lots of results on the existence and uniqueness of global solutions to the relativistic Boltzmann equation. 1n 1993 Glassey and Strauss [20] proved the global existence of smooth solution on the torus for the relativistic Boltzmann equation, the exponential decay rate was also obtained. It is noted that they [20] considered only the hard potential cases. 1995, they [21] extended that results to the Cauchy problem. In 2006, Hsiao and Yu [32] relaxed the restriction on the cross-section of [20], but is still restricted to the hard potential. In 2010, Strain [45] proved the unique solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation exists for all time and decay with any polynomial rate towards the relativistic Maxwellian on torus for the soft potentials. Recently, Jang [33] investigated the global classical solutions to the relativistic Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off, which extended the result of Newtonian Boltzmann equation [22]. For other interesting works, we refer to [18, 31] for the case near vacuum, [55, 49] for Landau system, [46, 54, 37, 56, 57] for Landau-Maxwell system, [40] for Vlasov-Maxwell system and [30] for relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann equation and the references therein. Along this direction, the very interesting paper [45] is in the frontier of this topic. We would like to mention that based on some new observations, the results of this paper significantly improve the paper [45] .

We would like to mention some results on the Newtonian Boltzmann equation. Under a uniform bound assumption in a strong Sobolev space, Desvillettes-Villani [8] obtained an almost exponential decay rate of large amplitude solutions to the global Maxwellian. The result has been recently improved by Gualdani, Mischler and Mouhot [23] to a sharp exponential time decay rate. On the other hand, there are many studies on the global existence of small perturbation solutions to the Boltzmann equation, for instance, [27, 38] by using the energy method, [28, 29, 51] by using $L^2 \cap L^\infty$ approach, and [1, 22] for non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. For other interesting results, see [4, 24, 25, 48, 50, 26, 39] and the references therein. Finally, we mention some results on the Newtonian limit of the relativistic Boltzmann equation, see [5, 43, 41] and the references therein.

It is noted that, all the results in the perturbation framework mentioned above, the initial data are required to have small amplitude perturbation around the global Maxwellian. Recently, the authors [11] developed a new $L^\infty_x L^1_v \cap L^\infty_{x,v}$ approach, and proved the global existence and uniqueness of mild solutions to the Boltzmann equation in the whole space and torus for a class of initial data with bounded velocity-weighted L^∞-norm under some additional smallness conditions on $L^1_x L^\infty_v$-norm as well as defect mass, energy and entropy. The purpose of this paper is to extend [11] to the relativistic Boltzmann equation, i.e. we consider the global existence and uniqueness of mild solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation with large amplitude initial data. The main difficulty is that the collision kernel of the relativistic Boltzmann equation is much more complicated than the non-relativistic case.

Now we begin to formulate our main results. Define a weight function

$$w_\beta(p) := (1+|p|^2)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}, \tag{1.14}$$

and the perturbation

$$f(t,x,p) := \frac{F(t,x,p) - J(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}, \tag{1.15}$$

then the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.4) is rewritten as

$$f_t + \hat{p}\cdot\nabla_x f + \nu(p) f - Kf = \Gamma(f,f), \tag{1.16}$$

where the linearized operator of the Boltzmann equation is

$$Lf = \nu(p)f - Kf = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}\Big\{Q(J,\sqrt{J}f) + Q(\sqrt{J}f, J)\Big\}, \tag{1.17}$$

the collisional frequency $\nu(p)$ is defined by

$$\nu(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta) J(q) d\omega dq, \tag{1.18}$$

and the operator $K := K_2 - K_1$ are defined as in [45]:

$$(K_1 f)(p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(p)J(q)} f(q) d\omega dq, \tag{1.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(K_2 f)(p) &:= \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} Q_+(J,\sqrt{J}f) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} Q_+(\sqrt{J}f, J)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)J(q')} f(p') d\omega dq + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)J(p')} f(q') d\omega dq,\end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

and

$$\Gamma(f,f) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}Q(\sqrt{J}f,\sqrt{J}f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}Q_+(\sqrt{J}f,\sqrt{J}f) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}}Q_-(\sqrt{J}f,\sqrt{J}f)$$
$$:= \Gamma_+(f,f) - \Gamma_-(f,f). \tag{1.21}$$

Then, for any (t,x,p), the mild form of the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.16) is given by

$$f(t,x,p) = e^{-\nu(p)t}f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p) + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}(Kf)(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)ds$$
$$+ \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Gamma(f,f)(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)ds, \tag{1.22}$$

with initial condition

$$f_0(x,p) = \frac{F_0(x,p) - J(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}. \tag{1.23}$$

To consider the global well-posedness of the relativistic Boltzmann equation with large data, we need the following hypothesis on σ:

H). *For soft potentials, we assume that the collision kernel of* (1.4) *satisfies*

$$\frac{g}{\sqrt{s}}g^{-b}\sigma_0(\theta) \lesssim \sigma(g,\theta) \lesssim g^{-b}\sigma_0(\theta), \tag{1.24}$$

where b,γ satisfy $b \in (0,2), \gamma > -\min\{\frac{4}{3}, 4-2b\}$. In addition, we assume that $\sigma_0(\theta) \lesssim \sin^\gamma\theta$ and $\sigma_0(\theta)$ is non-zero on a set of positive measure.

For hard potentials, we assume

$$\frac{g}{\sqrt{s}}g^{a}\sigma_0(\theta) \lesssim \sigma(g,\theta) \lesssim (g^a + g^{-b})\sigma_0(\theta). \tag{1.25}$$

where $\gamma > -\frac{4}{3}, a \in [0,2]\cap[0,\min\{2+\gamma, 4+3\gamma\}), b \in [0,2)$.

We point out that the short range interactions collision kernel is included in the hard potentials above, and the Newtonian limit of the relativistic Boltzmann equation in this case is the hard-sphere Boltzmann equation.

The first result of this paper is:

Theorem 1.1 (Global Existence) *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$ or $\mathbb{R}^3$, and* **H)** *hold. For any given $\beta > 14$, $\bar{M} \geq 1$, suppose that the initial data F_0 satisfies $F_0(x,p) = J(p) + \sqrt{J(p)}f_0(x,p) \geq 0$ and $\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} \leq \bar{M}$. There is a small constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending on $a,b,\gamma,\beta,\bar{M}$ such that if*

$$\mathcal{E}(F_0) + \|f_0\|_{L^1_x L^\infty_p} \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{1.26}$$

the Boltzmann equation (1.4), (1.5) *has a global unique mild solution $F(t,x,p) = J(p) + \sqrt{J(p)}f(t,x,p) \geq 0$ satisfying* (1.9)-(1.12) *and*

$$\|w_\beta f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \tilde{C}_1\bar{M}^2, \tag{1.27}$$

where the positive constant $\tilde{C}_1$ depends only on a,b,γ,β. Moreover, if the initial data f_0 is continuous in $(x,p) \in \Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$, then the solution $f(t,x,p)$ is continuous in $[0,\infty)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$.

Remark 1.2 *It is noted that the initial data allow to have large amplitude oscillations since we need only the smallness condition* (1.26). *The uniqueness of such mild solutions is also obtained.*

Remark 1.3 *As pointed out in [45, 15], the full ranges should be $\gamma > -2, a \in [0, 2+\gamma], b \in [0,\min\{4,4+\gamma\})$ for hard potentials, and $\gamma > -2, b \in (0,\min\{4,4+\gamma\})$ for soft potentials. In this paper, due to some technique difficulties, we need the restrictions on γ, a, b as in* **H)**. *Indeed, it is not known how to construct the local solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation with large initial data for hard potentials with $2 < a \leq 2+\gamma, \gamma > 0$, see Theorem 3.1 below.*

Furthermore, one can obtain the following decay estimates for the solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1 in the case of torus $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$.

Theorem 1.4 (Decay Estimate for Hard Potentials) *For hard potentials, let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$, $\beta > 14$ and $\gamma > -\frac{4}{3}, a \in [0,2] \cap [0, \min\{2+\gamma, 4+3\gamma\}), b \in [0,2)$. Assume $(M_0, \tilde{M}_0, E_0) = (0,0,0)$, and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, then there exists a positive constant $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that the solution $f(t,x,p)$ obtained in Theorem 1.1 satisfies*

$$\|w_\beta f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \tilde{C}_2 e^{-\lambda_0 t}, \tag{1.28}$$

where $\tilde{C}_2 > 0$ is a positive constant depending only a, b, γ, β and $\bar{M}$.

Theorem 1.5 (Decay Estimate for Soft Potentials) *For soft potentials, let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$, $\beta > 14$ and $b \in (0,2), \gamma > -\min\{\frac{4}{3}, 4-2b\}$. Assume $(M_0, \tilde{M}_0, E_0) = (0,0,0)$, and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, then the solution $f(t,x,p)$ obtained in Theorem 1.1 satisfies*

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \tilde{C}_3 (1+t)^{-1-\frac{\xi_1}{b}}. \tag{1.29}$$

where the positive constant $\xi_1 > 0$ is defined in Lemma 2.4 below, and $\tilde{C}_3 > 0$ depends only on $a, b, \gamma, \beta, \bar{M}$.

Remark 1.6 *From (1.29) and (1.27), we have $\|w_{\frac{\beta}{2}} f\|_{L^\infty} \le C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which yields $\|w_{\frac{\beta}{2}} f\|_{L^\infty} \ll 1$ when $t \gg 1$. Then, one can apply the iteration method in Section 6, 7 of [45] to improve the decay rate to any polynomial when β is large enough. However, we shall not discuss it in this paper since the main aim of this paper is the existence of global solution with the large amplitude initial data for the relativistic Boltzmann equation.*

Now we explain the strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.1. As mentioned previously, the only global existence of large solutions to the relativistic Boltzmann equation is due to Dudyński and Ekiel-Jeżewska [16], the uniqueness of these renormalized solutions, however, is completely open due to the lack of L^∞ estimates. Indeed, it is difficult to establish the global L^∞ bound for the solutions of Boltzmann equation due to the nonlinear term $\Gamma(f,f)$. In the previous references [45, 20], one usually bounds the nonlinear term in the following way

$$|w_\beta(p)\Gamma(f,f)(t)| \le C\nu(p)\|w_\beta f(t)\|^2_{L^\infty}, \tag{1.30}$$

then the smallness assumption on the L^∞-norm is needed. Indeed, it is hard to prove even the local existence of solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation with large L^∞-norm initial data by using (1.30) for hard potentials. In this paper, we firstly establish a new bound on the gain term $\Gamma_+(f,f)$(see (3.27) below), i.e.,

$$|w_\beta(p)\Gamma_+(f,f)(t)| \le C\|w_\beta f(t)\|^2_{L^\infty}, \text{ for suitably large } \beta > 0, \tag{1.31}$$

which enable us to obtain the local existence of L^∞ solution to the relativistic of Boltzmann equation without any smallness assumption on the L^∞-norm of initial data, see Theorem 3.1 below.

Although we have obtained the local solution with large initial data, but it is very difficult to extend such local solution to a global one due to the difficulty of quadratic term $\Gamma(f,f)$. To avoid the smallness assumption on the L^∞-norm, motivated by [11], we firstly establish the following estimate for the nonlinear term $\Gamma(f,f)$ of relativistic Boltzmann equation(see Lemma 4.1 below), i.e., for $\beta \ge 1$,

$$\Big|w_\beta(p)\Gamma(f,f)(t,x,p)\Big| \le C\nu(p)\|w_\beta f(t)\|^{2-\vartheta}_{L^\infty} \cdot \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,x,q)|dq\Big)^{\vartheta}, \tag{1.32}$$

for some $0 < \vartheta < 1$. We remark that one should be very careful to establish the above two inequalities (1.31) and (1.32) due to the complexity of cross-sections for the relativistic Boltzmann equation. Indeed, we need Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3(see appendix), which refine the corresponding lemmas in [20]. Based on the above preparation and under the initial condition (1.26), we prove that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,x,q)|dq$ should be small after some positive time due to the hyperbolicity of relativistic Boltzmann equation, even though $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f_0(x,q)|dq$ may be large initially. Then we can finally establish following uniform estimate

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t} \|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty} \le C\bar{M}^2.$$

through careful analysis. It is noted that the smallness of $\mathcal{E}(F_0) + \|f_0\|_{L^1_x L^\infty_p}$ implies that the initial data may have large amplitude oscillations.

Organization of the paper. In section 2, we give some useful estimates which will be used frequently. Section 3 is devoted to the local existence of unique solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation with arbitrary L^∞ data. In section 4, we first establish a key inequality Lemma 4.1, then give the details of proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 5 is devoted to the decay estimates in the case of torus.

Notations. Throughout this paper, we will use the L^2 norms

$$\|h\|_{L^2} := \left(\int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h(x,p)|^2 dpdx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |h|_{L^2} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h(p)|^2 dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty}$ denotes the $L^\infty(\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3_p)$-norm. The $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_p)$ inner product is denoted $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. We also need to measure the dissipation of the linearized operator

$$\|h\|_\nu := \left(\int_\Omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(p)|h(x,p)|^2 dpdx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad |h|_\nu := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(p)|h(p)|^2 dp\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We will further use $A \lesssim B$ to mean that there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that $A \le CB$ holds uniformly over the range of parameters which are present in the inequality and the precise magnitude of the constant is not important. The notation $B \gtrsim A$ is equivalent to $A \lesssim B$, and $A \approx B$ means that $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. We also use $C > 0$ to denote a generic positive constant which may depend on γ, β and vary from line to line, and $c > 0$ to denote a small constant. $C_\vartheta, \cdots$ denote the generic positive constants depending on $\vartheta, \cdots$, respectively, which also may vary from line to line.

2 Preliminaries

Define

$$l := \frac{p_0 + q_0}{2}, \quad j := \frac{|p \times q|}{g}, \tag{2.1}$$

From [7, 12, 47], we know that

$$(K_i f)(p) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_i(p,q) f(q) dq, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{2.2}$$

with the symmetric kernels

$$k_1(p,q) = c_1 \frac{g\sqrt{s}}{p_0 q_0} e^{-l} \int_0^\pi \sigma(g,\theta) \sin\theta d\theta, \tag{2.3}$$

$$k_2(p,q) = c_2 \frac{s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{g p_0 q_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{y[1+\sqrt{1+y^2}]}{\sqrt{1+y^2}} \sigma\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}}, \psi\Big) e^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}} I_0(jy) dy, \tag{2.4}$$

where $c_1 > 0$, $c_2 > 0$ are positive constants and

$$0 \le \sin\frac{\psi}{2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}g}{[g^2 - 4 + (g^2+4)\sqrt{1+y^2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The modified Bessel function $I_0(x)$ of imaginary function is defined as

$$I_0(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{z\cos\varphi} d\varphi.$$

Lemma 2.1 (Glassey& Strauss [20]) *It holds that*

$$\frac{[|p\times q|^2+|p-q|^2]^{\frac12}}{\sqrt{p_0q_0}}\le g\le |p-q| \quad \textit{and} \quad g\le 2\sqrt{p_0q_0}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$v_\phi=\frac{g\sqrt{s}}{p_0q_0}\lesssim 1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$l^2-j^2=\frac{g^2+4}{4g^2}|p-q|^2\ge 1+\frac14|p-q|^2, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt2}g(1+y^2)^{\frac14}\le\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}}\le\sqrt{s}(1+y^2)^{\frac14}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{y}{2\sqrt{1+y^2}}\le\cos\frac{\psi}{2}\le 1. \tag{2.9}$$

We define

$$\sigma_a(g,\psi):=g^a\sin^\gamma\psi \text{ and } \sigma_b(g,\psi):=g^{-b}\sin^\gamma\psi, \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$k_{2a}(p,q):=\frac{s^{\frac32}}{gp_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)\cdot\sigma_a\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}},\psi\Big)dy, \tag{2.11}$$

$$k_{2b}(p,q):=\frac{s^{\frac32}}{gp_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)\cdot\sigma_b\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}},\psi\Big)dy. \tag{2.12}$$

Then the following estimates hold:

Lemma 2.2 *Under the assumptions of* (1.24) *and* (1.25)*, it holds that*

$$0\le k_1(p,q)\lesssim\Big\{1+|p-q|^{1-b}\Big\}e^{-\frac14(p_0+q_0)}. \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$k_2(p,q)\lesssim\begin{cases}k_{2a}(p,q)+k_{2b}(p,q), & \textit{for hard potentials},\\ k_{2b}(p,q), & \textit{for soft potentials},\end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

where $k_{2a}(p,q)$ and $k_{2b}(p,q)$ satisfy

$$k_{2a}(p,q)\lesssim\begin{cases}(p_0q_0)^{\frac{a-\gamma-2}{4}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } a\ge\gamma\ge1,\\ (p_0q_0)^{\frac{a-\gamma-2}{4}}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1-\gamma}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } a\ge\gamma\ge0,\ \gamma<1,\\ (p_0q_0)^{-\frac12+\frac{\zeta_1}{4}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } 1\le a<\gamma,\\ (p_0q_0)^{-\frac12+\frac{\zeta_1}{4}}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1-a}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1-a}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } a<\gamma,\ a<1,\\ (p_0q_0)^{\frac{a+|\gamma|-2}{4}}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+|\gamma|}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+|\gamma|}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } -2<\gamma<0,\ a\le2+\gamma,\end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$k_{2b}(p,q)\lesssim\begin{cases}(p_0q_0)^{-\frac12-\frac{\zeta_2}{4}}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+b}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+b}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } \gamma\ge0,\ b<2,\\ (p_0q_0)^{\frac14(|\gamma|-b-2)}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+b}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+b}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } -b<\gamma<0,\ |\gamma|<b<2,\\ (p_0q_0)^{\frac14(|\gamma|-b-2)}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+|\gamma|}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+|\gamma|}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}, & \textit{for } -2<\gamma<0,\ |\gamma|\ge b,\end{cases} \tag{2.16}$$

where $\zeta_1=\max\{-2,a-\gamma\}$, $\zeta_2=\min\{2,b+\gamma\}$.

Remark 2.3 *Here we assume $0\le b<2$ to guarantee the integrability of $k_b(p,q)$, i.e., $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2b}(p,q)dq<\infty$.*

Proof. It is noted that (2.13) follows easily from (2.3). Now we focus on the estimation of $k_2(p,q)$ which is much more complicated. It is noted that (2.14) follows easily from (1.24) and (1.25). In the following, we try to estimate (2.15) and (2.16).

Estimation of $k_{2a}(p,q)$: Noting

$$\sin\psi = 2\sin\frac{\psi}{2}\cos\frac{\psi}{2}, \tag{2.17}$$

which, together with (2.11), yields that

$$k_{2a}(p,q) \lesssim \frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}}\Big)^{a-\gamma}\cos^\gamma\frac{\psi}{2}dy, \tag{2.18}$$

We divide the proof into the following cases.

Case 1: For $\gamma \geq 0$.

1) For $a \geq \gamma \geq 0$, it follows from (2.7)-(2.9), (2.18) and Lemma 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2a}(p,q) &\lesssim \frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)(1+y^2)^{\frac{a-\gamma}{4}}dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\frac{l^{1+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1+\frac{a-\gamma}{4}}}e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}} \qquad (2.19) \\ &\lesssim \frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}}{p_0q_0}l^{1+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}|p-q|} \lesssim \frac{g^{\gamma-1}}{p_0q_0}l^{1+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}e^{-\frac{3}{8}|p-q|}, \qquad (2.20) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts $0 \leq a-\gamma \leq 2$ and $s = 4+g^2 \leq 4+|p-q|^2$. If $\gamma \geq 1$, then it follows from (2.5), (2.20) and (6.7) that

$$k_{2a}(p,q) \lesssim \frac{l^{1+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}}{p_0q_0}e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} \lesssim (p_0q_0)^{\frac{a-\gamma-2}{4}}e^{-\frac{1}{8}|p-q|}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \gamma \geq 1. \tag{2.21}$$

If $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, it follows from (2.20), (2.5) and (6.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2a}(p,q) &\lesssim \frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1-\gamma}}\frac{l^{1+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}}{p_0q_0}e^{-\frac{3}{8}|p-q|} \\ &\lesssim \frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1-\gamma}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1-\gamma}}(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1}{4}(a-\gamma-2)}e^{-\frac{1}{8}|p-q|}, \quad \text{for } a \geq \gamma,\ 0 \leq \gamma < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

2) For $0 \leq a < \gamma$, it follows from (2.7)-(2.9), (2.18) and Lemma 6.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2a}(p,q) &\lesssim \frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)[g(1+y^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}]^{a-\gamma}dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{g^{a-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\frac{l^{1+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1+\frac{\zeta_1}{4}}}e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}} \qquad (2.23) \\ &\lesssim \frac{g^{a-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}l^{1+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}|p-q|} \lesssim \frac{g^{a-1}}{p_0q_0}l^{1+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}e^{-\frac{3}{8}|p-q|}, \qquad (2.24) \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_1 = \max\{-2, a-\gamma\} \leq 0$. If $a \geq 1$, it follows from (2.5), (2.24) and (6.7) that

$$k_{2a}(p,q) \lesssim \frac{l^{1+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}}{p_0q_0}e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} \lesssim (p_0q_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\zeta_1}{4}}e^{-\frac{1}{8}|p-q|}, \text{ for } 1 \leq a < \gamma. \tag{2.25}$$

On the other hand, if $0 \leq a < 1$, it follows from (2.5), (2.24) and (6.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} k_{2a}(p,q) &\lesssim \frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1-a}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1-a}}\frac{l^{1+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}}{p_0q_0}e^{-\frac{3}{8}|p-q|} \\ &\lesssim (p_0q_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\zeta_1}{4}}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1-a}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1-a}}e^{-\frac{1}{8}|p-q|}, \text{ for } 0 \leq a < \gamma,\ a < 1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Case 2: For $-2<\gamma<0$, it follows from (2.18) and (2.7)-(2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}k_{2a}(p,q)&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)\Big(\sqrt{s}(1+y^2)^{\frac14}\Big)^{a+|\gamma|}\cdot\Big(\frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}\Big)^{-|\gamma|}dy\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\Big\{\int_0^1 y^{1-|\gamma|}e^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)dy+\int_1^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)(1+y^2)^{\frac{a+|\gamma|}{4}}dy\Big\}\\&\quad\text{Noting }\ a\le 2+\gamma,(6.2)\text{ and }(6.5),\text{we have}\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\left(\frac{1}{(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{2-|\gamma|}{4}-O(\varepsilon)}}+\frac{l^{1+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{4}}}\right)e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}\qquad(2.27)\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{2}}}{p_0q_0}l^{1+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{2}}e^{-\frac12|p-q|}\lesssim\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+|\gamma|}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+|\gamma|}}(p_0q_0)^{\frac{a+|\gamma|-2}{4}}e^{-\frac14|p-q|},\qquad(2.28)\end{aligned}$$

where $O(\varepsilon)\doteq\frac{2(2-|\gamma|)^2\varepsilon}{4(4+4\varepsilon-2|\gamma|\varepsilon)}$ with $\varepsilon>0$ small enough so that $\frac12-\frac{|\gamma|}{4}-O(\varepsilon)\ge0$. Thus combining (2.21)-(2.28), we have proved (2.15).

Estimation of $k_{2b}(p,q)$: It follows from (2.12) and (2.17) that

$$k_{2b}(p,q)\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}}\Big)^{-b-\gamma}\cos^\gamma\frac{\psi}{2}dy.\qquad(2.29)$$

As previous, we divide the proof into the following cases.

Case 1: For $\gamma\ge0$, noting $\zeta_2=\min\{2,b+\gamma\}$, it follows from (2.7), (2.8), (6.2) and (6.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}k_{2b}(p,q)&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)[g(1+y^2)^{\frac14}]^{-b-\gamma}dy\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{-b-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)(1+y^2)^{-\frac{b+\gamma}{4}}dy\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{-b-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\frac{l^{1-\frac{\zeta_2}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1-\frac{\zeta_2}{4}}}e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}\lesssim(p_0q_0)^{-\frac12-\frac{\zeta_2}{4}}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+b}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+b}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|}.\qquad(2.30)\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: For $-2<\gamma<0$.

1) For $|\gamma|<b$, it follows from (2.7)-(2.9), (2.29) (6.2) and (6.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}k_{2b}(p,q)&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)[g(1+y^2)^{\frac14}]^{-b+|\gamma|}\Big(\frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}\Big)^{-|\gamma|}dy\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{-b-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\Big\{\int_0^1 y^{1-|\gamma|}e^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)dy+\int_1^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)(1+y^2)^{\frac{-b+|\gamma|}{4}}dy\Big\}\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{-b-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\left(\frac{1}{(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{2-|\gamma|}{4}-O(\varepsilon)}}+\frac{l^{1+\frac{-b+|\gamma|}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1+\frac{-b+|\gamma|}{4}}}\right)e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}\\&\lesssim(p_0q_0)^{\frac14(|\gamma|-b-2)}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+b}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+b}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|},\ \text{for }\ -2<\gamma<0,\ |\gamma|<b<2.\qquad(2.31)\end{aligned}$$

2) For $b\le|\gamma|$, it follows from (2.7)-(2.9), (2.29) (6.2) and (6.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}k_{2b}(p,q)&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)[\sqrt{s}(1+y^2)^{\frac14}]^{-b+|\gamma|}\Big(\frac{y}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}\Big)^{-|\gamma|}dy\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3+|\gamma|-b}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\Big\{\int_0^1 y^{1-|\gamma|}e^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)dy+\int_1^\infty ye^{-l\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0(jy)(1+y^2)^{\frac{-b+|\gamma|}{4}}dy\Big\}\\&\lesssim\frac{g^{\gamma-1}s^{\frac{3+|\gamma|-b}{2}}}{p_0q_0}\left(\frac{1}{(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{2-|\gamma|}{4}-O(\varepsilon)}}+\frac{l^{1+\frac{-b+|\gamma|}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1+\frac{-b+|\gamma|}{4}}}\right)e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}\\&\lesssim(p_0q_0)^{\frac14(|\gamma|-b-2)}\frac{(p_0q_0)^{\frac{1+|\gamma|}{2}}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+|\gamma|}}e^{-\frac18|p-q|},\ \text{for}\ -2<\gamma<0,\ |\gamma|\ge b.\end{aligned}\tag{2.32}$$

Combining (2.30)-(2.32), we completed the proof of (2.16). □

Lemma 2.4 *For soft potentials and $b\in(0,2),\gamma>-\min\{\frac43,4-2b\}$, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_2(p,q)dq\lesssim p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}-\xi_1}\lesssim1,\tag{2.33}$$

with $\xi_1:=\frac14\min\Big\{1,2-b,4-2b+\gamma,4+3\gamma\Big\}>0$.

For hard potentials and $\gamma>-\frac43,a\in[0,\min\{2+\gamma,4+3\gamma\}),b\in[0,2)$, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_2(p,q)dq\lesssim p_0^{-\xi_2},\tag{2.34}$$

with $\xi_2:=\frac14\min\Big\{1,2+\gamma-a,4+3\gamma-a,2-b\Big\}>0$.

Proof. From (2.14), we need only to estimates $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2b}(p,q)dq$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2a}(p,q)dq$.

Estimation on $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2b}(p,q)dq$:

1). For $\gamma\ge0$, it follows from $(2.16)_1$, (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2b}(p,q)dq&\lesssim p_0^{-1-\frac{\zeta_2}{2}}p_0^{1+b}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{16}|p-q|}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+b}}dq\\&\lesssim\begin{cases}p_0^{-1-\frac{\zeta_2}{2}}\ln p_0,\ \text{for}\ 0\le b\le1,\\p_0^{-2-\frac{\zeta_2}{2}+b},\ \text{for}\ 1<b<2,\end{cases}\quad\lesssim p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}}\left(p_0^{\frac12(b-2-\zeta_2)}\ln p_0+p_0^{b-2+\frac{b-\zeta_2}{2}}\right)\\&\lesssim p^{-\frac{b}{2}}\left(p_0^{-1}\ln p_0+p_0^{b-2}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{2.35}$$

where we have used the fact $\zeta_2\ge b$ since $0\le b<2$.

2). For $-2<\gamma<0,\ b>|\gamma|$, it follows from $(2.16)_2$, (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2b}(p,q)dq&\lesssim p_0^{\frac12(|\gamma|+b)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{16}|p-q|}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+b}}dq\\&\lesssim\begin{cases}p_0^{\frac12(|\gamma|-b-2)}\ln p_0,\ \text{for}\ 0\le b\le1,-b<\gamma<0,\\p_0^{\frac12(|\gamma|+b-4)},\ \text{for}\ 1<b<2,\ -b<\gamma<0.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{2.36}$$

3). For $-2<\gamma<0,\ b\le|\gamma|$, it follows from $(2.16)_3$, (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}k_{2b}(p,q)dq&\lesssim p_0^{\frac12(3|\gamma|-b)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{16}|p-q|}}{[|p\times q|+|p-q|]^{1+|\gamma|}}dq\\&\lesssim\begin{cases}p_0^{\frac12(|\gamma|-b-2)}\ln p_0,\ \text{for}\ -1\le\gamma<0,\gamma\le-b,\\p_0^{\frac12(3|\gamma|-b-4)},\ \text{for}\ -2<\gamma<-1,\gamma\le-b.\end{cases}\end{aligned}\tag{2.37}$$

Combining (2.35)-(2.37), we have, for $b \in (0,2), \gamma > -\min\{\frac{4}{3}, 4-2b\}$, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{2b}(p,q)dq \lesssim p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}} p_0^{-\xi_1}, \tag{2.38}$$

which yields immediately (2.33). On the other hand, for $0 \le b < 2, \gamma > -\frac{4}{3}$, it follows from (2.35)-(2.37) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{2b}(p,q)dq \lesssim p_0^{-\xi_{21}}, \tag{2.39}$$

where $\xi_{21} \doteq \frac{1}{4}\min\Big\{1, 2-b, 2+\gamma, 4+3\gamma\Big\} > 0$.

Estimation on $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{2a}(p,q)dq$:

1). For $\gamma \ge 0$, it follows from $(2.15)_1$-$(2.15)_4$, (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{2a}(p,q)dq \lesssim p_0^{\frac{1}{2}(a-\gamma-2)} + p_0^{-1+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}} \lesssim p_0^{\frac{1}{2}(a-\gamma-2)} + p_0^{-1}, \tag{2.40}$$

where we have used the fact $\zeta_1 \le 0$.

2). For $-2 < \gamma < 0$, it follows from $(2.15)_5$, (6.8) and (6.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{2a}(p,q)dq &\lesssim p_0^{\frac{1}{2}(a+3|\gamma|)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{16}|p-q|}}{[|p\times q| + |p-q|]^{1+|\gamma|}} dq \\ &\lesssim \begin{cases} p_0^{\frac{1}{2}(a+|\gamma|-2)} \ln p_0, \ \text{for} \ -1 \le \gamma < 0, \\ p_0^{\frac{1}{2}(a+3|\gamma|)-2}, \ \text{for} \ -2 < \gamma < -1. \end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{2.41}$$

Finally, noting $\gamma > -\frac{4}{3}, a \in [0, \min\{2+\gamma, 4+3\gamma\}), b \in [0,2)$, if follows from (2.35)-(2.37) and (2.40)-(2.41) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{2a}(p,q)dq \lesssim p^{-\xi_{22}}, \tag{2.42}$$

where $\xi_{22} \doteq \frac{1}{4}\Big\{1, 2+\gamma-a, 4+3\gamma-a\Big\} > 0$. Thus (2.34) follows immediately from (2.39) and (2.42). Therefore the proof of Lemma 2.4 is completed. □

Lemma 2.5 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.4, for any given $\alpha \ge 0$, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|q|)^{-\alpha} |k(p,q)|dq \lesssim p_0^{-\alpha-\frac{b}{2}-\xi_1} \lesssim 1, \quad \textit{for soft potentials,} \tag{2.43}$$

and for hard potentials,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|q|)^{-\alpha} |k(p,q)|dq \lesssim p_0^{-\alpha-\xi_2}. \tag{2.44}$$

Proof. Using (2.13), a direct calculation shows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|q|)^{-\alpha} k_1(p,q)dq \lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{8}p_0}. \tag{2.45}$$

On the other hand, using the same arguments as in Lemma 2.4 and the following facts

$$(1+|q|)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{1}{8}|p-q|} \le C(1+|p|)^{-\alpha} e^{-\frac{1}{10}|p-q|}, \tag{2.46}$$

one can obtain, for soft potentials,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|q|)^{-\alpha} |k_2(p,q)|dq \lesssim p_0^{-\alpha-\frac{b}{2}-\xi_1} \lesssim 1,$$

and for hard potentials,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|q|)^{-\alpha}|k_2(p,q)|dq \lesssim p_0^{-\alpha-\xi_2}.$$

Therefore the proof of Lemma 2.5 is completed. □

Motivated by Guo [29], we have the following lemma, which will play an important role in the following a priori estimates later.

Lemma 2.6 *Let $F(t)$ satisfy* (1.9), (1.11) *and the additional entropy inequality* (1.12) *then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|^2}{4J(p)}I_{\{|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|<J(p)\}}dpdx\\&\qquad+\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{4}|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|I_{\{|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|\geq J(p)\}}dpdx\\&\leq\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F_0\ln F_0-J\ln J]dpdx+[\ln(4\pi)-1]M_0+E_0\equiv\mathcal{E}(F_0).\end{aligned}\tag{2.47}$$

Proof. The Taylor expansion implies that

$$F(t)\ln F(t)-J\ln J=(1+\ln J)[F(t)-J]+\frac{|F(t)-J|^2}{2\tilde{F}},$$

where $\tilde{F}$ is between $F(t)$ and J. Noting $\ln J=-\ln(4\pi)-p_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|F(t)-J|^2}{2\tilde{F}}dqdx&=\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F(t)\ln F(t)-J\ln J]dqdx+\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\{[\ln(4\pi)-1]+p_0\}[F(t)-J]dqdx\\&\leq\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F_0\ln F_0-J\ln J]dqdx+[\ln(4\pi)-1]M_0+E_0,\end{aligned}\tag{2.48}$$

where we have used (1.9), (1.11) and (1.12) in the last inequality. Noting that $|F-J|\geq J$ yields that $F\geq 2J$ or $F=0$, thus we have that

$$\frac{|F-J|}{\tilde{F}}\geq\frac{1}{2},$$

which, together with (2.48), yields that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|^2}{4J(p)}I_{\{|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|<J(p)\}}dpdx\\&\qquad+\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{4}|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|I_{\{|F(t,x,p)-J(p)|\geq J(p)\}}dpdx\\&\leq\int_\Omega\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}[F_0\ln F_0-J\ln J]dqdx+[\ln(4\pi)-1]M_0+E_0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof of Lemma 2.6 is completed. □

3 Local Existence Result

As mentioned previously, Bichteler [3] proved the local existence and uniqueness in the L^∞ framework under smallness conditions on the initial data. To prove Theorem 1.1, firstly, we need to establish the local existence of unique solutions to the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.1) with large initial data in L^∞ space.

Theorem 3.1 (Local Existence) *We assume that $-2<\gamma,\ a\in[0,2]\cap[0,2+\gamma), b\in[0,\min\{4,4+\gamma\})$ for hard potentials, and $-2<\gamma, b\in(0,\min\{4,4+\gamma\})$ for soft potentials. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{T}^3$ or $\mathbb{R}^3$, $\beta>14$, $F_0(x,p)=J(p)+\sqrt{J(p)}f_0(x,p)\geq 0$ and $\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}<\infty$, then there exists a positive time*

$$t_1:=(8\tilde{C}_4[1+\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}])^{-1}>0,\tag{3.1}$$

such that the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.4), (1.5) *has a unique mild solution* $F(t,x,p) = J(p) + \sqrt{J(p)}f(t,x,p) \geq 0$ *on the time interval* $t \in [0,t_1]$ *and satisfies*

$$\|w_\beta f(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}, \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \tag{3.2}$$

where the positive constant $\tilde{C}_4 \geq 1$ *depends only on* a, b, γ, β. *In addition, the conservations of mass, momentum, energy* (1.9)-(1.11) *as well as the additional entropy inequality* (1.12) *hold. Furthermore, if the initial data* f_0 *is continuous, then the solution* $f(t,x,p)$ *is continuous in* $[0,t_1] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Proof. To prove the local existence of the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.4), (1.5), we consider the iteration, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$,

$$F_t^{n+1} + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x F^{n+1} + F^{n+1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta) F^n(t,x,q) d\omega dq = Q_+(F^n, F^n), \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$F^{n+1}(t,x,p)\Big|_{t=0} = F_0(x,p) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F^0(t,x,p) = J(p). \tag{3.4}$$

Denote

$$f^{n+1}(t,x,p) := \frac{F^{n+1}(t,x,p) - J(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}},$$

then (3.3) can be written equivalently as

$$\begin{aligned} f_t^{n+1} + \hat{p} \cdot \nabla_x f^{n+1} + f^{n+1} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta) \Big\{ J(q) + \sqrt{J(q)} f^n(t,x,q) \Big\} d\omega dq \\ = Kf^n + \frac{1}{\sqrt{J(p)}} Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^n, \sqrt{J} f^n) \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

with $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and

$$f^{n+1}(t,x,p)\Big|_{t=0} = f_0(x,p) \quad \text{and} \quad f^0(t,x,p) = 0.$$

Hence we get an approximation sequence $F^{n+1}, n = 0, 1, \cdots$ by solving the linear equation (3.3), (3.4).

Firstly, we consider the positivity of F^{n+1}. It is noted that

$$\begin{aligned} F^{n+1}(t,x,p) = e^{-\int_0^t B^n(\tau, x-\hat{p}(t-\tau), p) d\tau} \cdot F_0(x - \hat{p}t, p) \\ + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t B^n(\tau, x-\hat{p}(t-\tau), p) d\tau} \cdot Q_+(F^n, F^n)(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$B^n(\tau, y, p) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta) F^n(\tau, y, q) d\omega dq = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta) \Big\{ J(q) + \sqrt{J} f^n(\tau, y, q) \Big\} d\omega dq. \tag{3.7}$$

By the induction argument, if $F^n \geq 0$, then it holds that

$$B^n(\tau, x - \hat{p}(t-\tau), p) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Q_+(F^n, F^n)(\tau, x - \hat{p}(t-\tau), p) \geq 0,$$

which, together with (3.6), yields immediately that

$$F^{n+1}(t,x,p) \geq e^{-\int_0^t B^n(\tau, x-\hat{p}(t-\tau), p) d\tau} \cdot F_0(x - \hat{p}t, p) \geq 0.$$

Hence we have shown $F^{n+1} \geq 0$ for any $n = 0, 1, \cdots$.

Next we consider the uniform L^∞-estimate for the above approximation sequence. For this, it is more convenient to use the equivalent form f^{n+1}. Indeed, it follows from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} f^{n+1}(t,x,p) = e^{-\int_0^t B^n(\tau, x-\hat{p}(t-\tau), p) d\tau} \cdot f_0(x - \hat{p}t, p) \\ + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t B^n(\tau, x-\hat{p}(t-\tau), p) d\tau} \cdot (Kf^n)(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p) ds \\ + \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t B^n(\tau, x-\hat{p}(t-\tau), p) d\tau} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{J(p)}} Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^n, \sqrt{J} f^n)(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p) ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

which yields immediately that

$$|w_\beta(p)f^{n+1}(t,x,p)| \le \|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}\Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J}f^n,\sqrt{J}f^n)(s,\hat{x},p)\Big|ds$$
$$+\int_0^t \Big|w_\beta(p)(Kf^n)(s,\hat{x},p)\Big|ds \tag{3.9}$$

where have denoted $\hat{x} := x - \hat{p}(t-s)$.

To estimate the second term on the RHS of (3.9), we define

$$\frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}Q_+^b(\sqrt{J}|f^n|,\sqrt{J}|f^n|)(s,\hat{x},p) := w_\beta(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma_b(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f^n(s,\hat{x},p')f^n(s,\hat{x},q')|d\omega dq, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}Q_+^a(\sqrt{J}|f^n|,\sqrt{J}|f^n|)(s,\hat{x},p) := w_\beta(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma_a(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f^n(s,\hat{x},p')f^n(s,\hat{x},q')|d\omega dq, \tag{3.11}$$

where $\sigma_b(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\sigma_a(\cdot,\cdot)$ are defined in (2.10) above. Therefore, to estimate the second term on the RHS of (3.9), we need only to estimate (3.10) and (3.11). Using (6.18), one has

$$\frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}Q_+^b(\sqrt{J}|f^n|,\sqrt{J}|f^n|)(s,\hat{x},p) \lesssim \|w_\beta f^n\|_{L^\infty}^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma_b(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}d\omega dq$$
$$\lesssim p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}}\|w_\beta f^n\|_{L^\infty}^2 \tag{3.12}$$

On the other hand, using $p_0 \le p_0' + q_0'$, one has

$$\text{either } \frac{1}{2}p_0 \le p_0' \ \text{ or } \ \frac{1}{2}p_0 \le q_0',$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_a &:= \frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}}Q_+^a(\sqrt{J}|f^n|,\sqrt{J}|f^n|)(s,\hat{x},p)\\
&= \frac{w_\beta(p)}{2p_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} s\sigma_a(g,\theta)\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(q)}\Big|f^n(s,\hat{x},p')f^n(s,\hat{x},q')\Big|\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}\\
&\le \frac{C}{p_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} s\sigma_a(g,\theta)\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(q)}\Big|w_\beta(q')f^n(s,\hat{x},q')f^n(s,\hat{x},p')\Big|\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}\\
&\quad+\frac{C}{p_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} s\sigma_a(g,\theta)\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(q)}\Big|f^n(s,\hat{x},q')w_\beta(p')f^n(s,\hat{x},p')\Big|\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}\\
&:= I_{a1}+I_{a2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

For I_{a1}, we first exchange p' and q to get that

$$I_{a1} = \frac{C}{p_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+p^{\mu\prime}-q^\mu-q^{\mu\prime})$$
$$\times\sqrt{J(p')}\Big|w_\beta(q')f^n(s,\hat{x},q')f^n(s,\hat{x},q)\Big|\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}, \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\begin{cases}\bar{g}^2 := |g(p^\mu,p^{\mu\prime})|^2 \equiv g^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p^\mu+q^\mu)(p_\mu+q_\mu-p_\mu'-q_\mu'),\\ \bar{s} = 4+\bar{g}^2, \quad \cos\bar{\theta} = 1-2\Big(\frac{g}{\bar{g}}\Big)^2.\end{cases} \tag{3.15}$$

For I_{a2}, we first exchange q' and p', then p' and q to obtain

$$I_{a2} = \frac{C}{p_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+p^{\mu\prime}-q^\mu-q^{\mu\prime})$$
$$\times\sqrt{J(p')}\Big|w_\beta(q')f^n(s,\hat{x},q')f^n(s,\hat{x},q)\Big|\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}. \tag{3.16}$$

Combining (3.13)-(3.16), one gets that

$$I_a \le C\|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_a(p,q)|f(s,\hat{x},q)|dq \le C\|w_\beta f^n(s)\|^2_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_a(p,q)q_0^{-\beta}dq, \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$A_a(p,q) := \frac{1}{p_0q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu + p^{\mu\prime} - q^\mu - q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(p')}\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0'q_0'}. \tag{3.18}$$

Now we estimate $A_a(p,q)$. Define

$$u = u(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r<0,\\ 1, & \text{if } r\ge 0.\end{cases}$$

Let $\underline{g} := g(p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime})$ and $\underline{s} := s(p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime})$, then following identity holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} G(p^\mu,q^\mu|p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime})\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0'q_0'} = 16\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}^4} G(p^\mu,q^\mu|p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime})d\Theta(p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime}), \tag{3.19}$$

with

$$d\Theta(p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime}) \doteq u(p_0'+q_0')u(\underline{s}-4)\delta(\underline{s}-\underline{g}^2-4)\delta((p^{\mu\prime}+q^{\mu\prime})(p_\mu{}'-q_\mu{}'))dp^{\mu\prime}dq^{\mu\prime},$$

whose proof can be found in [44, 45, 7]. Combining (3.19) and (3.18), we obtain

$$A_a(p,q) = \frac{16}{p_0q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}^4} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu + p^{\mu\prime} - q^\mu - q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(p')}d\Theta(p^{\mu\prime},q^{\mu\prime}). \tag{3.20}$$

We consider the change of variables

$$\bar{p}^\mu = p^{\mu\prime} + q^{\mu\prime}, \quad \bar{q}^\mu = p^{\mu\prime} - q^{\mu\prime},$$

which yields immediately that

$$p^{\mu\prime} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}^\mu + \bar{q}^\mu), \quad q^{\mu\prime} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{p}^\mu - \bar{q}^\mu).$$

Applying the above change of variables, we have that

$$A_a(p,q) = \frac{1}{p_0q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4\times\mathbb{R}^4} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu - q^\mu + \bar{q}^\mu)e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\bar{p}_0+\bar{q}_0)}d\Theta(\bar{p}^\mu,\bar{q}^\mu),$$

with $d\Theta(\bar{p}^\mu,\bar{q}^\mu) := u(\bar{p}_0)u(-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu-4)\delta(-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu-\bar{q}^\mu\bar{q}_\mu-4)\delta(\bar{p}^\mu\bar{q}_\mu)d\bar{p}^\mu d\bar{q}^\mu$. Here we have used $\sqrt{J(p')} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}p_0{}'} = e^{-\frac{1}{4}(\bar{p}_0+\bar{q}_0)}$. Also, $\bar{g}\ge 0$ is now given by

$$\bar{g}^2 = g^2 + \frac{1}{2}(p^\mu+q^\mu)(p_\mu+q_\mu-\bar{p}_\mu), \quad \bar{s} = 4+\bar{g}^2, \quad \cos\bar{\theta} = 1-2\Big(\frac{g}{\bar{g}}\Big)^2.$$

Now we calculate the delta function for $\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu - q^\mu + \bar{q}^\mu)$ to get that

$$\begin{aligned} A_a(p,q) &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}}{p_0q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})e^{-\frac{1}{4}\bar{p}_0}u(\bar{p}_0)u(-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu-4)\delta(-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu-g^2-4)\delta(\bar{p}^\mu(q_\mu-p_\mu))d\bar{p}^\mu\\ &\quad \text{Noting } \ u(\bar{p}_0)\delta(-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu-g^2-4) = \frac{\delta(\bar{p}_0-\sqrt{s+|\bar{p}|^2})}{2\sqrt{s+|\bar{p}|^2}}, \text{ we have}\\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}}{p_0q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})e^{-\frac{1}{4}\bar{p}_0}u(-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu-4)\frac{\delta(\bar{p}_0-\sqrt{s+|\bar{p}|^2})}{2\sqrt{s+|\bar{p}|^2}}\delta(\bar{p}^\mu(q_\mu-p_\mu))d\bar{p}^\mu\\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}}{2p_0q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta(\bar{p}^\mu(q_\mu-p_\mu))e^{-\frac{1}{4}\bar{p}^\mu\bar{U}_\mu}\frac{d\bar{p}}{\bar{p}_0}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

where we have used the notations $\bar{p}_0 = \sqrt{s+|\bar{p}|^2}$, $\bar{U}^\mu = (-1,0,0,0)^t$ and the fact $-\bar{p}^\mu\bar{p}_\mu - 4 = s-4\ge 0$. We introduce a Lorentz transformation $\Lambda = \left(\Lambda^{\mu\nu}\right)$ such that

$$A_\nu = \Lambda^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu+q_\mu) = (\sqrt{s},0,0,0), \quad B_\nu = -\Lambda^{\mu\nu}(p_\mu-q_\mu) = (0,0,0,g).$$

Indeed, Strain [44] gives details of the Lorentz transformation

$$\Lambda=\left(\Lambda^{\mu\nu}\right)=\begin{pmatrix}\frac{p_0+q_0}{\sqrt{s}}, & -\frac{p_1+q_1}{\sqrt{s}}, & -\frac{p_2+q_2}{\sqrt{s}}, & -\frac{p_3+q_3}{\sqrt{s}}\\ \frac{2|p\times q|}{g\sqrt{s}}, & \Lambda^{11}, & \Lambda^{21}, & \Lambda^{31}\\ 0, & \frac{(p\times q)_1}{|p\times q|}, & \frac{(p\times q)_2}{|p\times q|}, & \frac{(p\times q)_3}{|p\times q|}\\ \frac{p_0-q_0}{g}, & -\frac{p_1-q_1}{g}, & -\frac{p_2-q_2}{g}, & -\frac{p_3-q_3}{g}\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\Lambda^{i1},\ i=1,2,3$ can be found in [44], we omit the details here.

Define $U^\mu=\Lambda^{\nu\mu}\bar{U}_\nu$, we have

$$U^\mu=\Big(-\frac{p_0+q_0}{\sqrt{s}},-\frac{2|p\times q|}{g\sqrt{s}},\ 0,\ -\frac{p_0-q_0}{g}\Big).$$

Using the above Lorentz transformation, one can get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar{s}\sigma_a(\bar{g},\bar{\theta})\delta(\bar{p}^\mu(q_\mu-p_\mu))e^{-\frac{1}{4}\bar{p}^\mu\bar{U}_\mu}\frac{d\bar{p}}{\bar{p}_0}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\bar{s}_\Lambda\sigma_a(\bar{g}_\Lambda,\bar{\theta}_\Lambda)\delta(\bar{p}^\mu B_\mu)e^{-\frac{1}{4}\bar{p}^\mu U_\mu}\frac{d\bar{p}}{\bar{p}_0},\tag{3.22}$$

where we have used $\bar{p}^\mu$ and $\frac{d\bar{p}}{\bar{p}_0}$ are Lorentz invariants. Here $\bar{g}_\Lambda,\ \bar{s}_\Lambda\geq 0,\ \bar{\theta}_\Lambda$ are given by

$$\bar{g}_\Lambda^2=g^2+\frac{1}{2}A^\mu(A_\mu-\bar{p}_\mu)=g^2+\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{s}(\bar{p}_0-\sqrt{s}),\quad \bar{s}_\Lambda=4+\bar{g}_\Lambda^2,\quad \cos\bar{\theta}_\Lambda=1-2\Big(\frac{g}{\bar{g}_\Lambda}\Big)^2.\tag{3.23}$$

To calculate (3.22), we use the polar coordinate

$$d\bar{p}=|\bar{p}|^2\sin\psi d|\bar{p}|d\psi d\varphi,\quad \bar{p}=|\bar{p}|(\sin\psi\cos\varphi,\sin\psi\sin\varphi,\cos\psi),$$

which, together with the fact $\bar{p}^\mu B_\mu=\bar{p}_3 g$, yields that

$$\begin{aligned}A_a(p,q)&=\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}}{2p_0q_0}\int_0^{2\pi}d\varphi\int_0^{\pi}\sin\psi d\psi\int_0^{\infty}\bar{s}_\Lambda\sigma_a(\bar{g}_\Lambda,\bar{\theta}_\Lambda)\delta(|\bar{p}|g\cos\psi)e^{-\frac{1}{4}\bar{p}^\mu U_\mu}\frac{|\bar{p}|^2d|\bar{p}|}{\bar{p}_0}\\&=\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}}{2gp_0q_0}\int_0^{2\pi}d\varphi\int_0^{\infty}\bar{s}_\Lambda\sigma_a(\bar{g}_\Lambda,\bar{\theta}_\Lambda)e^{-\bar{p}_0\frac{p_0+q_0}{4\sqrt{s}}}e^{\frac{|p\times q|}{2g\sqrt{s}}|\bar{p}|\cos\varphi}\frac{|\bar{p}|d|\bar{p}|}{\bar{p}_0}\\&=\frac{\pi e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}}{gp_0q_0}\int_0^{\infty}\bar{s}_\Lambda\sigma_a(\bar{g}_\Lambda,\bar{\theta}_\Lambda)e^{-\bar{p}_0\frac{p_0+q_0}{4\sqrt{s}}}I_0\Big(\frac{|p\times q|}{2g\sqrt{s}}|\bar{p}|\Big)\frac{|\bar{p}|d|\bar{p}|}{\bar{p}_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Denoting $z\doteq|\bar{p}|$, it follows from (3.23) that

$$g=\bar{g}_\Lambda\sqrt{\frac{1-\cos\bar{\theta}_\Lambda}{2}}=\bar{g}_\Lambda\sin\frac{\bar{\theta}_\Lambda}{2},\quad \bar{s}_\Lambda=\frac{1}{2}s+\frac{1}{2}s\sqrt{z^2/s+1},$$

with

$$\sin\frac{\bar{\theta}_\Lambda}{2}=\frac{g}{\bar{g}_\Lambda}=\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{\sqrt{g^2-4+s\sqrt{z^2/s+1}}}.$$

Noting $\bar{p}_0=\sqrt{s+z^2}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}A_a(p,q)&=\frac{\pi e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}s}{2gp_0q_0}\int_0^{\infty}[1+\sqrt{z^2/s+1}]\sigma_a\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\bar{\theta}_\Lambda}{2}},\bar{\theta}_\Lambda\Big)e^{-\frac{p_0+q_0}{4\sqrt{s}}\sqrt{s+z^2}}I_0\Big(\frac{|p\times q|}{2g\sqrt{s}}z\Big)\frac{zdz}{\sqrt{s+z^2}}\\&=\frac{\pi e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}s^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2gp_0q_0}\int_0^{\infty}\frac{y[1+\sqrt{y^2+1}]}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}\sigma_a\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}},\psi\Big)e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1+y^2}}I_0\Big(\frac{j}{2}y\Big)dy,\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

where we have made the change of variable $y=\frac{z}{\sqrt{s}}$ and defined

$$\sin\frac{\psi}{2}:=\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{\sqrt{g^2-4+(g^2+4)\sqrt{y^2+1}}}.$$

It follows from (2.5) that $s \lesssim p_0 q_0$, then by the same arguments as in (2.19), (2.23), (2.27) and using (2.5), (2.7), one has

$$A_a(p,q) \lesssim \begin{cases} \frac{(p_0q_0)^{1+a-\frac{\gamma}{2}}}{(1+|p-q|)^{2+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}, \quad a \geq \gamma \geq 1, \\ \frac{(p_0q_0)^{2+a-\frac{3\gamma}{2}}}{|p-q|^{1-\gamma}(1+|p-q|)^{2+\frac{a-\gamma}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}, \quad a \geq \gamma,\ 0 \leq \gamma < 1, \\ \frac{(p_0q_0)^{1+\frac{a}{2}+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}}{(1+|p-q|)^{2+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}, \quad 1 \leq a < \gamma, \\ \frac{(p_0q_0)^{2-\frac{a}{2}+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}}{|p-q|^{1-a}(1+|p-q|)^{2+\frac{\zeta_1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}, \quad a < \gamma,\ 0 \leq a < 1, \\ \Big[\frac{(p_0q_0)^{1+|\gamma|+\frac{a}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|}}{|p-q|^{1+|\gamma|}(1+|p-q|)^{1-\frac{|\gamma|}{2}-O(\varepsilon)}} + \frac{(p_0q_0)^{2+a+\frac{3|\gamma|}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|}}{|p-q|^{1+|\gamma|}(1+|p-q|)^{2+\frac{a+|\gamma|}{2}}}\Big] e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}, -2 < \gamma < 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.25}$$

where we have used the fact $p_0 + q_0 \leq p_0 q_0$. Noting that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{4}|p-q|} e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)} \leq 1,$$

which, together with (3.25) and (6.10), yields, for $\beta > 14$, that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} A_a(p,q) q_0^{-\beta} dq \lesssim \begin{cases} p_0^{-1+\frac{a}{2}} \lesssim 1, \ \gamma \geq 0, \ 0 \leq a \leq 2, \\ p_0^{-1+\frac{a}{2}} + p_0^{\frac{1}{2}(a+|\gamma|-2)+O(\varepsilon)} \lesssim 1, \ -2 < \gamma < 0, \ 0 \leq a < \min\{2+\gamma\}. \end{cases} \tag{3.26}$$

where we have chosen $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small so that $\frac{1}{2}(a-2-\gamma) + O(\varepsilon) \leq 0$. It is here that we need the condition $a \leq 2$ and $a < 2 + \gamma$. Substituting (3.26) into (3.17), one obtains

$$I_a = \frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}} Q_+^a(\sqrt{J}|f^n|, \sqrt{J}|f^n|)(s,\hat{x},p) \leq C\|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2,$$

which, together with (3.12), yields that

$$\frac{w_\beta(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}} \Big| Q_+(\sqrt{J}f^n, \sqrt{J}f^n)(s,\hat{x},p) \Big| \leq C\|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2. \tag{3.27}$$

We remark that Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 are very important for us to bound $A_a(p,q)$. Indeed, if one use the corresponding lemmas of [20], it will be hard to control the part $e^{-\frac{1}{4}(q_0-p_0)}$.

For the last term in the RHS of (3.9), we note

$$\begin{aligned} I_K &:= \Big| w_\beta(p)(Kf^n)(s,\hat{x},p) \Big| \leq \Big| w_\beta(p)(K_1 f^n)(s,\hat{x},p) \Big| + \Big| w_\beta(p)(K_2 f^n)(s,\hat{x},p) \Big| \\ &= I_{K1} + I_{K2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

It follows from (2.45), for $\beta \geq 0$, that

$$I_{K1} \leq \|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty} \cdot w_\beta(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1+|q|)^{-\beta} k_1(p,q) dq \lesssim \|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{3.29}$$

Noting the definition of $K_2 f$ in (1.20), by the similar arguments as in (3.10)-(3.27), we can obtain that

$$I_{K2} \leq C\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \text{ for } \beta \geq 0, \tag{3.30}$$

which, together with (3.29), yields that

$$I_K \leq C\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \text{ for } \beta \geq 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Substituting (3.31) and (3.27) into (3.9), we have, for $\beta > 14$, that

$$\|w_\beta(p) f^{n+1}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} + C_1 t \Big\{ \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 \Big\}, \tag{3.32}$$

where $C_1 \geq 1$ depends only on a, b, γ, β. By the induction argument, we can prove that if

$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t_1} \|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} \ \text{ with } \ t_1 = \Big(8C_1[1+\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}]\Big)^{-1}, \tag{3.33}$$

then it follows from (3.32) and (3.33), for $\beta > 14$, that

$$\sup_{0\leq s\leq t_1} \|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} \text{ with } t_1 = \Big(8C_1[1+\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}]\Big)^{-1}, \text{ for } n \geq 0. \tag{3.34}$$

Now we show that $f^{n+1},\ n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ is a Cauchy sequence. It follows from (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}(f^{n+2} - f^{n+1})(t, x, p)]\Big| \\
&\leq |\sqrt{w_\beta(p)} f_0(x - \hat{p}t, p)| \cdot \int_0^t \Big|(B^{n+1} - B^n)(\tau, x - \hat{p}(t-\tau), p)\Big| d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |\sqrt{w_\beta(p)} K f^{n+1}(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)| \cdot \int_s^t \Big|(B^{n+1} - B^n)(\tau, x - \hat{p}(t-\tau), p)\Big| d\tau ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}}{\sqrt{J(p)}} |Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^{n+1}, \sqrt{J} f^{n+1})(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)| \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \int_s^t \Big|(B^{n+1} - B^n)(\tau, x - \hat{p}(t-\tau), p)\Big| d\tau ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}(K f^{n+1} - K f^n)(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)| ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}}{\sqrt{J(p)}} \Big|\Big(Q_+(\sqrt{\mu} f^{n+1}, \sqrt{\mu} f^{n+1}) - Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^n, \sqrt{J} f^n)\Big)(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)\Big| ds \\
&\triangleq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\Big|(B^{n+1} - B^n)(\tau, z, p)\Big| \leq C\nu(p)\|(f^{n+1} - f^n)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}, \tag{3.36}$$

which, together with (3.31), (3.27) an (6.12), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 + I_2 + I_3 &\leq C\Big\{\|\nu\sqrt{w_\beta} f_0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t \Big|\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}\nu(p) K f^{n+1}(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)\Big| ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}\nu(p)}{\sqrt{J(p)}} \Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^{n+1}, \sqrt{J} f^{n+1})(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)\Big| ds\Big\} \int_0^t \|(f^{n+1} - f^n)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau \\
&\leq Ct\Big\{\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} + t \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty} + t \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} \|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2\Big\} \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|(f^{n+1} - f^n)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq Ct\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty} \cdot \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|(f^{n+1} - f^n)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}, \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_1, \ \beta > 14,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

where we have used (3.34) in the last inequality. By the same argument as in (3.31), one can obtain that

$$I_4 \leq Ct \sup_{0\leq\tau\leq t} \|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1} - f^n)(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}, \text{ for } \beta \geq 0. \tag{3.38}$$

For I_5, we note

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\Big(Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^{n+1}, \sqrt{J} f^{n+1}) - Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^n, \sqrt{J} f^n)\Big)(s, \hat{x}, p)\Big| \\
&\leq \Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J} f^{n+1}, \sqrt{J}(f^{n+1} - f^n))(s, \hat{x}, p)\Big| + \Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J}(f^{n+1} - f^n), \sqrt{J} f^n)(s, \hat{x}, p)\Big|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

By similar arguments as in (3.13), one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}}{\sqrt{J(p)}}\Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J}f^{n+1},\sqrt{J}(f^{n+1}-f^n))(s,\hat{x},p)\Big| \\
&\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f^{n+1}(s,\hat{x},p')|\cdot\Big|\sqrt{w_\beta(q')}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s,\hat{x},q')\Big|d\omega dq \\
&\quad + C\frac{\nu(p)}{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}}\|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty}. \qquad (3.40)
\end{aligned}$$

Using similar arguments as in (3.13)-(3.27), one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f^{n+1}(s,\hat{x},p')|\cdot\Big|\sqrt{w_\beta(q')}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s,\hat{x},q')\Big|dqd\omega \\
&\le C\|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty},\ \text{for } \beta>14,
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.40), yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}}{\sqrt{J(p)}}\Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J}f^{n+1},\sqrt{J}(f^{n+1}-f^n))(s,\hat{x},p)\Big| \\
&\le C\|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty},\ \text{for } \beta>14. \qquad (3.41)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sqrt{w_\beta(p)}}{\sqrt{J(p)}}\Big|Q_+(\sqrt{J}(f^{n+1}-f^n),\sqrt{J}f^n)(s,\hat{x},p)\Big| \\
&\le C\|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty},\ \text{for } \beta>14. \qquad (3.42)
\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (3.39), (3.41) and (3.42) for, $0\le t\le t_1$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_5 &\le Ct\sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big\{\|w_\beta f^{n+1}(s)\|_{L^\infty}+\|w_\beta f^n(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}\cdot\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\le Ct\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty}\quad\text{for}\quad\beta>14, \qquad (3.43)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.34) in the last inequality.

Substituting (3.37), (3.38) and (3.43) into (3.35), one obtains, for $0\le t\le t_1$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+2}-f^{n+1})(s)\|\le Ct_1(1+\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty})\cdot\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\le\frac{C}{8C_1}\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty}\le\frac{1}{2}\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+1}-f^n)(s)\|_{L^\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have chosen C_1 suitably large so that $\frac{C}{8C_1}\le\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, by the induction argument, it is straightforward to obtain that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^{n+2}-f^{n+1})(s)\|\le 2^{-n-1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^1-f^0)\|_{L^\infty}\le 2^{-n}\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty},$$

which yields immediately that $f^{n+1},\ n=0,1,2,\cdots$ is a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists a limit f such that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f^n-f)(s)\|_{L^\infty}\to 0\ \text{ as }\ n\to+\infty,$$

and the limit function f is indeed a mild solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.16), (1.23). Moreover, it follows from (3.34) that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le t_1}\|w_\beta f(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le 2\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Now we consider the uniqueness. Let $\tilde{f}$ be another solution of the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.16), (1.23) with $\sup_{0\le t\le t_1}\|w_\beta\tilde{f}(t)\|_{L^\infty}<+\infty$, by the same arguments as in (3.35)-(3.43), it is straightforward to obtain that

$$\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f-\tilde{f})(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le C(1+\|w_\beta f\|_{L^\infty}+\|w_\beta\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty})\cdot\int_0^t\|\sqrt{w_\beta}(f-\tilde{f})(s)\|_{L^\infty}ds,$$

which, together with the Gronwall inequality, yields immediately the uniqueness, i.e., $f=\tilde{f}$.

Multiplying (3.3) by $1, p, p_0$ and F^{n+1}, integrating by parts and then taking the limit $n\to+\infty$, one can obtain (1.9)-(1.12).

Finally, if F_0 (or equivalent f_0) is continuous, it is obvious that $F^{n+1}(t,x,p)$ (or equivalent $f^{n+1}(t,x,p)$) is continuous in $[0,\infty)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^3$ since (3.3) is a linear equation. The continuity of $f(t,x,p)$ is an immediate consequence of $\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|(f^{n+1}-f)(s)\|_{L^\infty}\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$. Therefore the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. □

4 Global Estimates

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Indeed, we need only to obtain the uniform a priori estimates to solutions of the relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.16) since we have already proved the local existence of unique solution to the relativistic Boltzmann equation with large data in Theorem 3.1.

4.1 Weighted L^∞-Estimates

Define

$$h(t,x,p):=w_\beta(p)f(t,x,p). \tag{4.1}$$

Multiplying (1.16) by $w_\beta(p)$, one gets

$$h_t+\hat{p}\cdot\nabla_x h+\nu(p)h-K_{w_\beta}h=\Gamma_{w_\beta}(h,h), \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$(K_{w_\beta}h)(p):=w_\beta(p)\Big(K\frac{h}{w_\beta}\Big)(p)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{w_\beta}(h,h)&:=w_\beta(p)\Gamma(\frac{h}{w_\beta},\frac{h}{w_\beta})=w_\beta(p)\Gamma_+(\frac{h}{w_\beta},\frac{h}{w_\beta})-w_\beta(p)\Gamma_-(\frac{h}{w_\beta},\frac{h}{w_\beta})\\&\equiv w_\beta(p)\Gamma(f,f)=w_\beta(p)\Gamma_+(f,f)-w_\beta(p)\Gamma_-(f,f).\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Then the mild form of (4.2) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}h(t,x,p)=e^{-\nu(p)t}h_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)&+\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}(K_{w_\beta}h)(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)ds\\&+\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}(\Gamma_{w_\beta}(h,h))(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Firstly, we give a useful estimation on the nonlinear term $\Gamma(f,f)$.

Lemma 4.1 *We assume that $-2<\gamma, a\in[0,2+\gamma], b\in[0,\min\{4,4+\gamma\})$ for hard potentials, and $-2<\gamma, b\in(0,\min\{4,4+\gamma\})$ for soft potentials. Let $1<d<\min\Big\{\frac{9}{8},\frac{2}{\max\{-\gamma,1\}},\frac{3}{\max\{b-1,1\}}\Big\}$, then for any $\alpha\ge 0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{cases}\Big|w_\alpha(p)\Gamma_-(f,f)(s,x,p)\Big|\le C\nu(p)\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\cdot\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|dq\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}},\\[2ex]\Big|w_\alpha(p)\Gamma_+(f,f)(s,x,p)\Big|\le C\nu(p)\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|w_1 f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\cdot\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|dq\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}},\end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

where the positive constant $C>0$ depends only on a,b,γ,d.

Proof. It is noted that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|w_\alpha(p)\Gamma_-(f,f)(s,x,p)\Big| &= \Big|w_\alpha(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}f(s,x,p)f(s,x,q)d\omega dq\Big| \\
&\le \|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,x,q)|d\omega dq \\
&\le \|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}|v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)|^d\sqrt{J(q)}d\omega dq\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,x,q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dq\right)^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\lesssim \nu(p)\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|^{\frac{5d}{d-1}}dq\right)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}} \\
&\lesssim \nu(p)\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|dq\right)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}, \qquad (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 6.7 below.

Next, we consider the gain term $\Gamma_+(f,f)$ which is much more complicated. Using Lemma 6.7, one can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|w_\alpha(p)\Gamma_+(f,f)(s,x,p)\Big| &= \Big|w_\alpha(p)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}f(s,x,p')f(s,x,q')d\omega dq\Big| \\
&\le \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}|v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)|^d\sqrt{J(q)}d\omega dq\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\cdot\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|w_\alpha(p)f(s,x,p')f(s,x,q')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dq\Big)^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\lesssim \nu(p)\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|w_\alpha(p)f(s,x,p')f(s,x,q')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dq\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\cong \nu(p)\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(q)} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times|w_\alpha(p)f(s,x,p')f(s,x,q')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0'q_0'}dq\Big\}^{1-\frac{1}{d}}, \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact $d\omega=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0'q_0'}$ in the last equality. By the same arguments as in (3.13)-(3.16), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\sqrt{J(q)}|w_\alpha(p)f(s,x,p')f(s,x,q')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0'q_0'}dq \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{s}}{g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})J(q)^{\frac{1}{4}}|w_\alpha(p')f(s,x,p')f(s,x,q')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0} \\
&\quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{s}}{g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})J(q)^{\frac{1}{4}}|f(s,x,p')w_\alpha(q')f(s,x,q')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0} \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{\bar{s}}}{\bar{g}}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+p^{\mu\prime}-q^\mu-q^{\mu\prime})J(p')^{\frac{1}{4}}|w_\alpha(q')f(s,x,q')f(s,x,q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0} \\
&\lesssim \|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{\bar{s}}}{\bar{g}}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+p^{\mu\prime}-q^\mu-q^{\mu\prime})J(p')^{\frac{1}{4}}|f(s,x,q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}, \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{g}, \bar{s}$ are defined in (3.15) above. Then, using the same procedures as in (3.20)-(3.24), we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}(p,q) &:= \frac{1}{p_0 q_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\sqrt{\bar{s}}}{\bar{g}}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu + p^{\mu'} - q^\mu - q^{\mu'})J(p')^{\frac{1}{4}}\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0' q_0'} \\
&\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{8}(q_0-p_0)}\frac{s}{gp_0q_0}\int_0^\infty \frac{y\sqrt{1+\sqrt{y^2+1}}}{\sqrt{1+y^2}}\Big(\frac{g}{\sin\frac{\psi}{2}}\Big)^{-1} e^{-\frac{l}{4}\sqrt{1+y^2}} I_0\Big(\frac{j}{4}y\Big)dy \\
&\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{8}(q_0-p_0)}\frac{s}{g^2p_0q_0}\int_0^\infty y(1+y^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{l}{4}\sqrt{1+y^2}} I_0\Big(\frac{j}{4}y\Big)dy \\
&\lesssim e^{-\frac{1}{8}(q_0-p_0)}e^{-\frac{1}{8}|p-q|}\frac{s}{g^2p_0q_0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{l^2-j^2}} \lesssim \frac{p_0q_0}{|p-q|^2(1+|p-q|)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Combining (4.8) and (4.9), one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\lesssim \|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{p_0^2q_0}{|p-q|^2(1+|p-q|)}|f(s,x,q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}dq \\
&\lesssim \|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{p_0^{\frac{5}{2}}q_0^{\frac{5}{4}}(1+|q|)^{-10}}{|p-q|^{\frac{5}{2}}(1+|p-q|)^{\frac{5}{4}}}dq\right)^{\frac{4}{5}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+|q|)^{40}|f(s,x,q)|^{\frac{5d}{d-1}}dq\right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \\
&\lesssim p_0^{-1}\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\cdot\Big\|q_0^{\frac{40(d-1)}{4d+1}}f(s)\Big\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5(d-1)}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|dq\right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \\
&\lesssim p_0^{-1}\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{d}{d-1}}\cdot\Big\|w_1 f(s)\Big\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5(d-1)}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|dq\right)^{\frac{1}{5}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where we have used the fact $\frac{40(d-1)}{4d+1} \le 1$ due to $d < \frac{9}{8}$. Then, substituting (4.10) into (4.7), one has

$$\Big|w_\alpha(p)\Gamma_+(f,f)(s,x,p)\Big| \le C\nu(p)\|w_\alpha f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\|w_1 f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,x,q)|dq\right)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}. \tag{4.11}$$

Therefore the proof of Lemma 4.1 is completed. □

Lemma 4.2 *Under the hypothesis* **H)**, *it holds, for* $\beta > 14$, *that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty} &\le C_2\Big\{\|h_0\|_{L^\infty} + \|h_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big\} \\
&\quad + C_2\sup_{t_1\le s\le t, z\in\Omega}\left\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where $t_1 > 0$ *is defined in* (3.1), *and the constant* $C_2 \ge 1$ *depends only on* a, b, γ, β.

Proof. It follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|h(t,x,p)| &\le e^{-\nu(p)t}|h_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)| + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|(K_{w_\beta}h)(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)\Big|ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|(\Gamma_{w_\beta}(h,h))(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)\Big|ds \\
&:= e^{-\nu(p)t}\|h_0\|_{L^\infty} + D_1 + D_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

For D_2, it follows from (4.3) and (4.5) that

$$D_2 \le C\sup_{0\le s\le t,\ z\in\Omega}\left\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\right\}, \quad \text{for } \beta \ge 1. \tag{4.14}$$

It remains to consider D_1 whose estimation is rather complicated. Let $k_{w_\beta}(p,q)$ be the corresponding kernel associated with K_{w_β}, then it holds that

$$k_{w_\beta}(p,q) = k(p,q)\frac{w_\beta(p)}{w_\beta(q)}, \tag{4.15}$$

which, together with (2.43) and (2.44), yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)\Big|dq \le C \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)\Big|dq \le C\frac{\nu(p)}{p_0^\xi}, \tag{4.16}$$

where $\xi := \min\{\xi_1, \xi_2\} > 0$. Denoting $\hat{x} := x - \hat{p}(t-s)$, similar to [52, 45], we use (4.4) again to get

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &\le \|h_0\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(q)s}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)|dqds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k_{w_\beta}(p,q)| \int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|(\Gamma_{w_\beta}(h,h))(\tau, \hat{x} - \hat{q}(s-\tau), q)|d\tau dqds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)| \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau, \hat{x} - \hat{q}(s-\tau), \eta)|d\tau dqd\eta ds \\ &:= D_{11} + D_{12} + D_{13}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

It follows from (4.14) and (4.16), for $\beta \ge 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{11} + D_{12} &\le C\left\{\|h_0\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{0\le s\le t, z\in\Omega}\Big[\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big]\right\} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)|dqds \\ &\le C\left\{\|h_0\|_{L^\infty} + \sup_{0\le s\le t, z\in\Omega}\Big[\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

We now concentrate on the last term D_{13} on the RHS of (4.17). Motivated by [11, 29], we divide the proof into the following three cases.

Case 1. For $|p| \ge N$, it follows from (4.16) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)\Big|dq \le C\frac{\nu(p)}{N^\xi},$$

which yields immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{13} &\le C\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)|\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}\frac{\nu(q)}{(1+|q|)^\xi}d\tau dqds \\ &\le \frac{C}{N^\xi}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Case 2. For $|p| \le N,\ |q| \ge 2N$ (or $|p| \le N, |q| \le 2N,\ |\eta| \ge 3N$), we have $|p-q| \ge N$ (or $|q-\eta| \ge N$), and

$$|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)| \le Ce^{-\frac{N}{20}}\Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)e^{\frac{|p-q|}{20}}\Big| \ \Big(\text{or } |k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)| \le Ce^{-\frac{N}{20}}\Big|k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)e^{\frac{|q-\eta|}{20}}\Big|\Big). \tag{4.20}$$

By similar arguments as in Lemma 2.5, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)e^{\frac{|p-q|}{20}}\Big|dq \le \frac{C\nu(p)}{(1+|p|)^\xi} \ \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big|k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)e^{\frac{|q-\eta|}{20}}\Big|d\eta \le \frac{C\nu(q)}{(1+|q|)^\xi}. \tag{4.21}$$

Then it follows from (4.20) and (4.21) that

$$\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big\{\int_{|p|\le N,|q|\ge 2N}+\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\ge 3N}\Big\}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)|\\ \times\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\tau d\eta dqds\\ \le Ce^{-\frac{N}{20}}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{4.22}$$

Case 3. For $|p|\le N,\ |q|\le 2N,\ |\eta|\le 3N$, we get

$$\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)|\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\tau d\eta dqds\\ \le\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)|\int_{s-\kappa}^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\tau d\eta dqds\\ +\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)\\ \times|\int_0^{s-\kappa}e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\tau d\eta dqds. \tag{4.23}$$

Using (4.16), we can control the first term on the RHS of (4.23) by

$$C\kappa\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\nu(p)ds\le C\kappa\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{4.24}$$

Now we estimate the second term on the RHS of (4.23). Since $k_{w_\beta}(p,q)$ may have singularity of $\frac{1}{|p-q|}$, we choose a smooth compact support function $k_N(p,q)$ such that

$$\sup_{|p|\le 3N}\int_{|q|\le 3N}\Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)-k_N(p,q)\Big|dq\le\frac{C}{N^7}. \tag{4.25}$$

Noting

$$k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)=\Big(k_{w_\beta}(p,q)-k_N(p,q)\Big)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)\\ +\Big(k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)-k_N(q,\eta)\Big)k_N(p,q)+k_N(p,q)k_N(q,\eta), \tag{4.26}$$

and using (4.25) and (4.26), the second term on the RHS of (4.23) is bounded by

$$\frac{C}{N^7}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_0^{s-\kappa}e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}d\tau ds\\ \times\left\{\sup_{|q|\le 2N}\int_{|\eta|\le 3N}|k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)|d\eta+\sup_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le 2N}|k_N(p,q)|dq\right\}\\ +\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|k_N(p,q)k_N(q,\eta)|\\ \times\int_0^{s-\kappa}e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\tau dqd\eta ds\\ \le\frac{C}{N}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}+C_N\int_0^t\int_0^{s-\kappa}e^{-c_N(t-s)}e^{-c_N(s-\tau)}\\ \times\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|dqd\eta d\tau ds, \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used the facts that $k_N(p,q)k_N(q,\eta)$ is bounded and

$$\nu(p)\ge c_N\ \text{ for }|p|\le N,\ \text{ and }\ \nu(q)\ge c_N\ \text{ for }|q|\le 2N.$$

It follows from (2.47) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N} |h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\eta dq\\
&\le C_N\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}\frac{|F(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)-J(\eta)|}{\sqrt{J(\eta)}}I_{\{|F(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)-J(\eta)|\le J(\eta)\}}d\eta dq\\
&\quad + C_N\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|F(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)-J(\eta)|I_{\{|F(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)-J(\eta)|\ge J(\eta)\}}d\eta dq\\
&\le C_N\frac{1+(s-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(s-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left\{\int_\Omega\int_{|\eta|\le 3N}\frac{|F(\tau,z,\eta)-J(\eta)|^2}{J(\eta)}I_{\{|F(\tau,z,\eta)-J(\eta)|\le J(\eta)\}}d\eta dz\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\quad + C_N\frac{1+(s-\tau)^3}{(s-\tau)^3}\int_\Omega\int_{|\eta|\le 3N}|F(\tau,z,\eta)-J(\eta)|I_{\{|F(\tau,z,\eta)-J(\eta)|\ge J(\eta)\}}d\eta dz\\
&\le C_N\frac{1+(s-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(s-\tau)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+C_N\frac{1+(s-\tau)^3}{(s-\tau)^3}\mathcal{E}(F_0),
\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

where we have made a change of variable $z:=\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau)$ and used

$$dz=(s-\tau)^3d\hat{q}=\frac{(s-\tau)^3}{(1+|q|^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}}dq.\tag{4.29}$$

Using (4.28), we can bound the second term on the RHS of (4.27) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_N\int_0^t\int_0^{s-\kappa}e^{-c_N(t-s)}e^{-c_N(s-\tau)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\eta d\tau dq ds\\
&\le C_N\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+C_N\kappa^{-3}\mathcal{E}(F_0).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

Combining (4.30), (4.27), (4.24) and (4.23) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)k_{w_\beta}(q,\eta)|\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}|h(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\tau d\eta dq ds\\
&\le C(\kappa+\frac{1}{N})\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}+C_N\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+C_N\kappa^{-3}\mathcal{E}(F_0).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

Thus collecting all the above estimates, we get, for any $\kappa>0$ and large $N\ge 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}&\le C_N\Big(\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\kappa^{-3}\mathcal{E}(F_0)+\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}\Big)+C\Big(\kappa+\frac{1}{N^\xi}\Big)\cdot\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad + C\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\left\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

First choosing κ small, then letting N sufficiently large so that $C\Big(\kappa+\frac{1}{N^\xi}\Big)\le\frac{1}{2}$, one obtains, for $\beta\ge 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}&\le C\Big\{\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big\}\\
&\quad + C\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\left\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\right\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.33}$$

Using Theorem 3.1, one has, for $\beta>14$, that

$$\sup_{0\le s\le t_1,z\in\Omega}\left\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\right\}\le C\sup_{0\le s\le t_1}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2\le C\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}^2.\tag{4.34}$$

Substituting (4.34) into (4.33) implies, for $\beta>14$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}&\le C_2\Big\{\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}+\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}^2+\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big\}\\
&\quad + C_2\sup_{t_1\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\left\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\right\},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.35}$$

which yields immediately (4.12), where the positive constant $C_2 > 0$ depends only on a, b, γ, β, d. Therefore the proof of Lemma 4.2 is completed. □

4.2 $L^\infty_x L^1_p$-Estimates

In this subsection, we will concentrate on the estimate of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,x,p)|dp.$$

We observe that if $\mathcal{E}(F_0) + \|f_0\|_{L^1_x L^\infty_p}$ is small, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,x,p)|dp$ is also small for $t \geq t_1$ even though it might be initially large. Indeed, we have the following lemma which plays a key role in this paper.

Lemma 4.3 *Under the hypothesis* **H)**, *it holds, for $\beta > 5$, that*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,x,p)|dp \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)t}|f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)|dp + C_{\kappa,N}\Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big] \\ & + C\Big(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\Big) \cdot \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\Big\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \|h(s)\|^2_{L^\infty}\Big\} \\ & + C_{\kappa,N}\Big(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big)^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \cdot \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|^{1+\frac{1}{d}}_{L^\infty} \\ & + C_{\kappa,N}\Big(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big)^{\frac{1}{5}(1-\frac{1}{d})} \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

where $\kappa > 0$ and $N \geq 1$ will be chosen later.

Proof. It follows from (1.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,x,p)|dp \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)t}|f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)|dp + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|(Kf)(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)\Big|dpds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|\Gamma(f,f)(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)\Big|dpds \\ &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)t}|f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)|dp + H_1 + H_2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

For H_1, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}H_1 = & \int_{t-\kappa}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(p,q)f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)dq\Big|dpds \\ & + \int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k(p,q)f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)dq\Big|dpds \\ := & H_{11} + H_{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

It is straightforward to obtain, for $\beta > 3$, that

$$\begin{aligned}H_{11} &\leq \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_{t-\kappa}^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}(1+|p|^2)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)\Big|dqdpds \\ &\leq C\kappa \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where we have used the first part of (4.16) in the last inequality. For H_{12}, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}H_{12} &= \int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\geq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_q} k(p,q)f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)dq\Big|dpds\\ &\quad+\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\leq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|\int_{|q|\geq 2N} k(p,q)f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)dq\Big|dpds\\ &\quad+\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\leq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big|\int_{|q|\leq 2N} k(p,q)f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)dq\Big|dpds\\ &:= H_{121}+H_{122}+H_{123}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

It follows from (4.16) and (4.21), for $\beta>3$, that

$$\begin{aligned}H_{121} &\leq \sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\geq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}w_\beta(p)^{-1}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_q} k_{w_\beta}(p,q)dq\Big|dpds\\ &\leq C\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\geq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}(1+|p|)^{-\xi-\beta}\nu(p)dpds\\ &\leq \frac{C}{N^{\beta-3}}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty},\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}H_{122} &\leq e^{-\frac{N}{20}}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\cdot\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\leq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}w_\beta(p)^{-1}\Big|\int_{|q|\geq 2N} k_{w_\beta}(p,q)e^{\frac{|p-q|}{20}}dq\Big|dpds\\ &\leq Ce^{-\frac{N}{20}}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

Noting $k_{w_\beta}(p,q)$ may have singularity of $\frac{1}{|p-q|}$, then using (4.25), (4.26) and (4.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}H_{123} &\leq \int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\leq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}w_\beta(p)^{-1}\int_{|q|\leq 2N}|k_{w_\beta}(p,q)-k_N(p,q)|\cdot|h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)|dqdpds\\ &\quad+\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\leq N} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\leq 2N}\Big|k_N(p,q)h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)\Big|dqdpds\\ &\leq \frac{C}{N}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}+C_N\int_0^{t-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}\int_{|p|\leq N,|q|\leq 2N}\Big|h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)\Big|dqdpds\\ &\leq \frac{C}{N}\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}+C_N\Big[\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\kappa^{-3}\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

Hence combining (4.38)-(4.43), one gets, for $\beta>3$, that

$$H_1 \leq C\left(\kappa+\frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\right)\sup_{0\leq s\leq t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}+C_N\Big[\kappa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\kappa^{-3}\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big]. \tag{4.44}$$

Next we estimate H_2. Firstly, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}H_2 &\leq \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}\\ &\qquad\times\Big|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)\Big|d\omega dqdpds\\ &\quad+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}\\ &\qquad\times\Big|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p')f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q')\Big|d\omega dqdpds\\ &:= H_{21}+H_{22}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

For H_{21}, one has, for $\beta > 5$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{21} &\le C\int_{t-\kappa}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\nu(p)w_\beta(p)^{-1}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 dpds \\
&\quad + C\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \|h(s)\|_{L^\infty} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}w_\beta(p)^{-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)|d\omega dqdpds \\
&\le C\kappa \sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\int_0^{t-\kappa}\Big\{\int_{|p|\ge N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_q}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_p}\int_{|q|\ge N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Big\}\{\cdots\}dqdpds \\
&\qquad + C\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\{\cdots\}d\omega dqdpds \\
&\le C\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\right)\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\{\cdots\}d\omega dqdpds. \qquad (4.46)
\end{aligned}$$

For the last term of above, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\{\cdots\}d\omega dqdpds \\
&\le C\int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{|p|\le N}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}e^{-c_N(t-s)}w_\beta(p)^{-1} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)|d\omega dqdpds \\
&\le C_N\int_0^{t-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\left(\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}|v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)|^d\sqrt{J(q)}d\omega dq\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\left(\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}dqdp\right)^{1-\frac{1}{d}}ds \\
&\le C\int_0^{t-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{1}{d}}\left(\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)|dqdp\right)^{1-\frac{1}{d}}ds \\
&\le C_N\kappa^{-3}\Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big]^{1-\frac{1}{d}}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{1}{d}}. \qquad (4.47)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\int_{|p|\le N,|q|\le 3N}|f(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),q)|dqdp \le C_N\frac{1+(t-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(t-s)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + C_N\frac{1+(t-s)^3}{(t-s)^3}\mathcal{E}(F_0).$$

Hence, from (4.46) and (4.47), one obtains, for $\beta > 5$, that

$$H_{21} \le C\left(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\right)\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_N\kappa^{-3}\Big(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big)^{1-\frac{1}{d}}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{1}{d}}. \qquad (4.48)$$

Now we estimate H_{22}. For $\beta > 5$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{22} &\le C\int_{t-\kappa}^{t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\nu(p)w_\beta(p)^{-1}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 dpds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t-\kappa}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}w_\beta(p)^{-1}v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p')|d\omega dqdpds \\
&\le C\left(\kappa+\frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\right)\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_N\int_0^{t-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p')|d\omega dqdpds. \qquad (4.49)
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the last term on RHS of (4.49), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p')|d\omega dqdp\\
&\le \left(\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)|^d\sqrt{J(q)}d\omega dqdp\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}\left(\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|h(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dqdp\right)^{1-\frac{1}{d}}\\
&\le C_N\left(\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dqdp\right)^{1-\frac{1}{d}},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.50}$$

where we have denoted $\hat{x}=x-\hat{p}(t-s)$ and used (6.11) in the last inequality. Noting $d\omega=\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})\frac{dp'dq'}{p_0'q_0'}$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dq\le C_N\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}\sqrt{J(p')}|f(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dq\\
&\le C_N\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}\sqrt{J(p')}\Big[|f(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},p')-J(p')|<J(p')\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +|F(s,\hat{x},p')-J(p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},p')-J(p')|\ge J(p')\}}\Big]d\omega dq\\
&\le C_N\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{s}}{g}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+q^\mu-p^{\mu\prime}-q^{\mu\prime})J(q)^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{J(p')}\Big[|f(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},p')-J(p')|<J(p')\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +|F(s,\hat{x},p')-J(p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},p')-J(p')|\ge J(p')\}}\Big]\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}\\
&\le C_N\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\sqrt{\bar{s}}}{\bar{g}}\delta^{(4)}(p^\mu+p^{\mu\prime}-q^\mu-q^{\mu\prime})J(p')^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{J(q)}\Big[|f(s,\hat{x},q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|<J(q)\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|\ge J(q)\}}\Big]\frac{dp'dq'dq}{p_0'q_0'q_0}\\
&\le C_N\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\tilde{A}(p,q)\sqrt{J(q)}\Big[|f(s,\hat{x},q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|<J(q)\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|\ge J(q)\}}\Big]dq,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.51}$$

where $\tilde{A}(p,q)$ is defined in (4.9) above. Then applying the bound of $\tilde{A}(p,q)$ in (4.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,\hat{x},p')|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}d\omega dq\\
&\le C_N\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{p_0q_0\sqrt{J(q)}}{|p-q|^2(1+|p-q|)}\Big[|f(s,\hat{x},q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|<J(q)\}}\\
&\qquad\qquad +|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|^{\frac{d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|\ge J(q)\}}\Big]dq\\
&\le C_N\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,\hat{x},q)|^{\frac{5d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|<J(q)\}}dq\\
&\qquad\qquad +\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|^{\frac{5d}{d-1}}I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|\ge J(q)\}}dq\Big)^{\frac{1}{5}}\\
&\le C_N\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5(d-1)}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\sqrt{J(q)}|f(s,\hat{x},q)|I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|<J(q)\}}dq\\
&\qquad\qquad +\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|I_{\{|F(s,\hat{x},q)-J(q)|\ge J(q)\}}dq\Big)^{\frac{1}{5}},
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.50) and (2.47), yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|p|\le N}\int_{|q|\le N}\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)\sqrt{J(q)}|h(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p')|d\omega dqdp\\
&\le C_{\kappa,N}\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)}+\mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big]^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.52}$$

Substituting (4.52) into (4.49), we get, for $\beta > 5$, that

$$H_{22} \le C\Big(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\Big) \sup_{0\le s\le t} \|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_{\kappa,N}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}} \Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big]^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}. \tag{4.53}$$

Combining (4.53) and (4.48), one has

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 \le{}& C\Big(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\Big) \sup_{0\le s\le t} \|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2 + C_{\kappa,N}\Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big]^{1-\frac{1}{d}} \sup_{0\le s\le t} \|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1+\frac{1}{d}} \\ &+ C_{\kappa,N}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}} \Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big]^{\frac{1}{5}(1-\frac{1}{d})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.54}$$

Submitting (4.44) and (4.54) into (4.37), one proves (4.36) for $\beta > 5$. Therefore the proof of Lemma 4.3 is completed. □

4.3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\beta > 14$, we make the *a priori* assumption

$$\|h(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le 2R_0 := 2C_2\Big\{2\bar{M}^2 + \sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big\}, \tag{4.55}$$

where the positive constant $C_2 \ge 1$ is defined in Lemma 4.2. Then it follows from Lemma 4.2 and the a priori assumption (4.55) that

$$\|h(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le R_0 + C_2(2R_0)^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}} \cdot \sup_{t_1\le s\le t, z\in\Omega} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(s,z,q)|dq\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}. \tag{4.56}$$

For $t \ge t_1$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu(p)t}|f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)|dp &\le C\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}\tilde{N}^{-\beta+3} + \int_{|p|\le\tilde{N}} |f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)|dp \\ &\le C\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}\tilde{N}^{-\beta+3} + \int_{|p|\le\tilde{N}} |f_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)|(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{5}{2}}d\hat{p} \\ &\le C\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}\tilde{N}^{-\beta+3} + C\tilde{N}^5 \int_{|\hat{p}|\le 1} \|f_0(x-\hat{p}t,\cdot)\|_{L^\infty}d\hat{p} \\ &\le C\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}\tilde{N}^{-\beta+3} + C\tilde{N}^5(1+t_1^{-3})\|f_0\|_{L^1_xL^\infty_p} \\ &\le C\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}\tilde{N}^{-\beta+3} + C\tilde{N}^5\bar{M}^3\|f_0\|_{L^1_xL^\infty_p} \\ &\le C\bar{M}^{\frac{3\beta-4}{\beta+2}}\|f_0\|_{L^1_xL^\infty_p}^{\frac{\beta-3}{\beta+2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

where we have chosen $\tilde{N} = \bar{M}^{-\frac{2}{\beta+2}}\|f_0\|_{L^1_xL^\infty_p}^{-\frac{1}{\beta+2}}$ above. Then it follows from Lemma 4.3, (4.57) and the a priori assumption (4.55) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t_1\le s\le t, z\in\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(t,z,p)|dp \\ &\le C\bar{M}^{\frac{3\beta-4}{\beta+2}}\|f_0\|_{L^1_xL^\infty_p}^{\frac{\beta-3}{\beta+2}} + C_{\kappa,N}\Big[\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big] + C\Big[\kappa + \frac{1}{N^{\beta-3}}\Big](2R_0)^2 \\ &\quad + C_{\kappa,N}\Big(\sqrt{\mathcal{E}(F_0)} + \mathcal{E}(F_0)\Big)^{\frac{1}{5}(1-\frac{1}{d})}(2R_0)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

Noting $\beta > 14, d > 1$, firstly choosing κ sufficiently small, then $N \ge 1$ large enough, finally letting $\mathcal{E}(F_0) + \|f_0\|_{L^1_xL^\infty_p} \le \epsilon_0$ with ϵ_0 small depending only on a, b, β, γ and $\bar{M}$ such that

$$4C_2R_0^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}} \cdot \sup_{t_1\le s\le t, z\in\Omega} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |f(s,z,p)|dp\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}} \le \frac{3}{4}, \tag{4.59}$$

which, together with (4.56), yields immediately that

$$\|h(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le \frac{7}{4}R_0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge 0. \tag{4.60}$$

That is, the a priori assumption (4.55) is closed and therefore the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed. □

5 Decay Estimates in Torus $\mathbb{T}^3$

In this section, we try to obtain the decay rates for the global solutions obtained in Theorem 1.1 in the case $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$. Consider the following linearized Boltzmann equation

$$\varphi_t + \hat{p}\cdot\nabla_x\varphi + \nu(p)\varphi - K\varphi = 0, \quad \varphi(0,x,p) = \varphi_0(x,p). \tag{5.1}$$

Denote the semigroup for (5.1) by $S(t)$, then we have

$$\varphi(t) = S(t)\varphi_0.$$

Let $\varphi(t,x,p)$ be the solution of linearized equation (5.1) and define

$$\Phi(t,x,p) := w_\beta(p)\varphi(t,x,p),$$

then it holds

$$\Phi_t + \hat{p}\cdot\nabla_x\Phi + \nu(p)\Phi - K_{w_\beta}\Phi = 0, \quad \Phi(0,x,p) = \Phi_0(x,p). \tag{5.2}$$

For later use, we denote the semigroup for (5.2) by $U(t)$, then one has

$$\Phi(t) = U(t)\Phi_0.$$

From the H-theorem, L is a nonnegative, and the null space of L is given by the five dimensional space [17]:

$$\mathcal{N} = span\left\{\sqrt{J}, p\sqrt{J}, p_0\sqrt{J}\right\}. \tag{5.3}$$

We define $\mathbf{P}$ as its p-projection in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to the null space $\mathcal{N}$. Then we decompose $f(t,x,p)$ uniquely as

$$f(t,x,p) = \mathbf{P}f + (\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f.$$

Furthermore, we expand $\mathbf{P}f$ as a linear combination of the basis in (5.3),

$$\mathbf{P}f = \left\{a(t,x) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} b_i(t,x)p_i + c(t,x)p_0\right\}\sqrt{J}.$$

Using (2.43), (2.44) and Lemma 3.5.1 of [17], it is easy to check that K is a compact operator, from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_p)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3_p)$. Then the following lemma holds:

Lemma 5.1 *Under the hypothesis* **H)**, *there exists a positive constant* $\delta_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$\langle f, Lf\rangle \ge \delta_0|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f|^2_\nu,$$

and $Lf = 0$ *if and only if* $f = \mathbf{P}f$.

Guo [28] firstly established the following lemma for the Newtonian Boltzmann equation. Later, Strain [47] extended it to the relativistic Boltzmann equation in the case of soft potentials. Indeed, the lemma also holds for relativistic Boltzmann equation in the case of hard potentials, the proof is almost the same as in [45], we omit the details of proof for simplicity of presentation.

Lemma 5.2 *Let* $f(t,x,p)$ *be any solution to the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation* (5.1) *in the sense of distribution, and* f *satisfies* (1.9)-(1.11) *with* $(M_0, \tilde{M}_0, E_0) = (0,0,0)$, *then there exists a positive constant* $M > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_0^1 \|(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{P})f(s)\|^2_\nu ds \ge M\int_0^1 \|\mathbf{P}f(s)\|^2_\nu ds.$$

5.1 Decay Estimate for Hard Potentials

In this subsection, we consider the decay estimate for the case of hard potentials on torus $\mathbb{T}^3$, i.e., $\gamma > -\frac{4}{3}, a \in [0,2] \cap [0, \min\{2+\gamma, 4+3\gamma\}), b \in [0,2)$.

Utilizing Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 and by similar arguments as in Theorem 5 of [28], we have the following L^2-exponential decay estimate for the linearized equation (5.1).

Lemma 5.3 *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$, $\varphi(t,x,p)$ be any solution to the linearized Boltzmann equation* (5.1) *and satisfies the conservations of mass* (1.9)*, momentum* (1.10) *and energy* (1.11) *with $(M_0, \tilde{M}_0, E_0) = (0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist positive constants $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|S(t)\varphi_0\|_{L^2} = \|\varphi(t)\|_{L^2} \le Ce^{-\lambda_1 t}\|\varphi_0\|_{L^2}, \quad \textit{for} \ \ t \ge 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Utilizing Lemma 5.3, we can obtain the following L^∞ decay estimate for the linearized Boltzmann equation.

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$, $\varphi(t,x,v)$ be any solution to the linearized Boltzmann equation* (5.1) *and satisfies the conservations of mass* (1.9)*, momentum* (1.10) *and energy* (1.11) *with $(M_0, \tilde{M}_0, E_0) = (0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist positive constants $0 < \lambda_2 \le \lambda_1$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|U(t)\Phi_0\|_{L^\infty} = \|\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le Ce^{-\lambda_2 t}\|w_\beta\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad \textit{for} \ \ t \ge 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. The mild form of (5.2) is given by

$$\Phi(t,x,p) = e^{-\nu(v)t}\Phi_0(x - \hat{p}t, p) + \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}(K_{w_\beta}\Phi)(s, x - \hat{p}(t-s), p)ds.$$

Noting $\nu(p) \ge \nu_0 > 0$, by similar arguments as in Lemma 4.2, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le{}& C(1+t)e^{-\nu_0 t}\|\Phi_0\|_{L^\infty} + C(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^\xi})e^{-\frac{\nu_0 t}{2}} \sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big(e^{\frac{\nu_0}{2}s}\|\Phi(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big) \\ &+ C_N\int_0^t\int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}e^{-c_N(s-\tau)}\int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\le 3N}|\Phi(\tau, \hat{x} - \hat{q}(s-\tau), \eta)|dqd\eta d\tau ds \end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|\Phi(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|dqd\eta &\le C_N\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|\varphi(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|dqd\eta \\ &\le C_{\kappa,N}\|\varphi(s)\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (5.6), yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big(e^{\frac{\nu_0 t}{2}}\|\Phi(t)\|_{L^\infty}\Big) \le{}& C(1+t)\|\Phi_0\|_{L^\infty} + C(\kappa + \frac{1}{N^\xi})\sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big\{e^{\frac{\nu_0}{2}s}\|\Phi(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\} \\ &+ C_{\kappa,N}\int_0^t\|\varphi(s)\|_{L^2}ds.\end{aligned}$$

We first choose T_0 large so that $2C(1+T_0)e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{2}T_0} = e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{4}T_0}$, then choose N large and κ sufficiently small, thus we have

$$\|\Phi(T_0)\|_{L^\infty} \le e^{-\frac{\nu_0}{4}T_0}\|\Phi_0\|_{L^\infty} + C_{T_0}\int_0^{T_0}\|\varphi(s)\|_{L^2}ds. \tag{5.7}$$

Thus, (5.5) follows from (5.4), (5.7) and Lemma 19 of Guo [28]. Here we omit the details of proof for simplicity of presentation. Therefore the proof of this lemma is completed. □

Based on the above preparations, we apply Lemma 5.4 to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Using the semigroup $U(t)$ of (5.2) and the Duhamel Principle, we obtain the formula of solutions to the weighted relativistic Boltzmann equation (4.2) as

$$h(t) = U(t)h_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)\Big\{w_\beta\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds.$$

Then it follows from (5.5) that

$$\|h(t)\|_{L^\infty} \le Ce^{-\lambda_2 t}\|h_0\|_{L^\infty} + \Big\| \int_0^t U(t-s)\Big\{w_\beta \Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds\Big\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{5.8}$$

To bound the last term on the RHS of (5.8), we notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t U(t-s)\Big\{w_\beta\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds &= \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big\{w_\beta\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}K_{w_\beta}\Big\{U(s_1-s)w_\beta\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds_1 ds. \end{aligned}\tag{5.9}$$

For the first term on the RHS of (5.9), it follows from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\Big\{w_\beta(p)\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds\Big| \\ &\le C\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\nu(p)\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\sup_{z\in\Omega}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}ds \\ &\le C\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\nu(p)e^{-\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}ds \\ &\le Ce^{-\frac{\lambda_2}{2}t}\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}. \end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

For the second term on the RHS of (5.9), motivated by [28], we define a new semigroup $\tilde{U}(t)$ solving

$$\Big\{\partial_t + \hat{p}\cdot\nabla_x + \nu(p) - K_{\tilde{w}}\Big\}\{\tilde{U}(t)\tilde{h}_0\} = 0, \quad \tilde{U}(0)\tilde{h}_0 = \tilde{h}_0,$$

with $\tilde{w}(p) = \frac{w_\beta(p)}{(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}$. A direct calculation shows that $(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}\tilde{U}(t)$ also solves the weighted linearized Boltzmann equation (5.2). The uniqueness in L^∞ with $\tilde{h}_0 = \frac{h_0}{(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}$ yields that

$$U(t)h_0 \equiv (1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}\tilde{U}(t)\Big\{\frac{h_0}{(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}\Big\}.$$

We note that (5.5) also holds for semigroup $\tilde{U}(t)$. Then it follows from (5.5) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big|\int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}K_{w_\beta}\Big\{U(s_1-s)w_\beta\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds_1 ds\Big| \\ &\le \int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_q}k_{w_\beta}(p,q)(1+|q|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}\Big\{\tilde{U}(s_1-s)\frac{w_\beta}{(1+|q|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}\Big|dqds_1 ds \\ &\le \int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}\Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3_q}k_{w_\beta}(p,q)(1+|q|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}dq\Big|\cdot\Big\|\Big\{\tilde{U}(s_1-s)\frac{w_\beta}{(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}\Big\|_{L^\infty}dqds_1 ds \\ &\le \int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}e^{-\lambda_2(s_1-s)}\nu(p)\Big\|\frac{w_\beta(p)}{(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\|_{L^\infty}ds_1 ds \\ &\le C\int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}e^{-\lambda_2(s_1-s)}\nu(p)\Big\|\frac{\nu(p)}{(1+|p|^2)^{\frac{a}{4}}}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{9d+1}{5d}}\sup_{z\in\Omega}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}ds_1 ds \\ &\le C\int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}e^{-\lambda_2(s_1-s)-\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\nu(p)ds_1 ds\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4p+1}{5p}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\} \\ &\le Ce^{-\frac{\lambda_2}{2}t}\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4p+1}{5p}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}, \end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

where we have used the following bound in the last inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_s^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s_1)}e^{-\lambda_2(s_1-s)}e^{-\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\nu(p)ds_1 ds = \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)t}e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}ds\int_s^t e^{[\nu(p)-\lambda_2]s_1}\nu(p)ds_1 \\ &\le C\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)t}e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}ds\int_s^t e^{[\nu(p)-\lambda_2]s_1}d(\nu(p)-\lambda_2)s_1 \\ &\le C\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)t}e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}e^{[\nu(p)-\lambda_2]t}ds \le Ce^{-\frac{\lambda_2}{2}t}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.8)-(5.10), (5.11) and using (4.60), one obtains that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big\{e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}\\
&\le C\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}+C\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}\\
&\le C\Big\{\|h_0\|_{L^\infty}+\sup_{0\le s\le 1}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^2\Big\}\\
&\qquad\quad+C\sup_{1\le s\le t}\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\sup_{1\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}\\
&\le C_3\bar{M}^4+C_3\sup_{1\le s\le t}\Big[e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}s}\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\cdot\sup_{1\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.12}
$$

Using (4.58) and by similar arguments as in (4.59), if ϵ_0 is small, one gets

$$
C_3\sup_{1\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\|h(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}\le\frac{1}{2}.\tag{5.13}
$$

Substituting (5.13) into (5.12) gives that

$$
e^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}t}\|h(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le 2C_3\bar{M}^4,\quad t\ge 0.\tag{5.14}
$$

Choosing

$$
\lambda_0=\frac{\lambda_2}{2}\quad\text{and}\quad\tilde{C}_2=2C_3\bar{M}^4,
$$

we obtain (1.28) from (5.14). Therefore the proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed. □

5.2 Decay Estimate for Soft Potentials

In this subsection, we consider the decay estimate for soft potentials on torus, i.e. $b\in(0,2),\gamma>-\min\{\frac{4}{3},4-2b\}$. Firstly, we give the following estimate on the linearized operator L. The proof of following lemma will be given in the appendix since it is similar to the ones of [45].

Lemma 5.5 *There exists a constant $R\ge 1$, such that the following inequality holds*

$$
\langle w_\vartheta^2 Lf,f\rangle\ge\frac{1}{2}|w_\vartheta f|_\nu^2-C_R|I_{\le R}f|_{L^2}^2,\tag{5.15}
$$

where the positive constant $C_R>0$ depends only on R.

Using Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.5, and by same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.7 of [45], we can obtain the following L^2-decay estimate. Here we omit the details of the proof for simplicity of presentation.

Lemma 5.6 *Let $\Omega=\mathbb{T}^3$ and $\varphi(t,x,p)$ be any solution to the linearized Boltzmann equation* (5.1) *and satisfies* (1.9)-(1.11) *with $(M_0,\tilde{M}_0,E_0)=(0,0,0)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}$. Then, for any $\alpha,k\ge 0$, there exist positive constants $C_{\alpha,k}>0$ such that*

$$
\|w_\alpha\varphi(t)\|_{L^2}\le C_{\alpha,k}(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\alpha+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^2}.\tag{5.16}
$$

Based on Lemma 5.6, we have the following L^∞-decay estimate for the solutions to the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation (5.1).

Lemma 5.7 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 5.6, given $\vartheta>\frac{3}{2}$ and $k\in[0,1+\frac{\xi_1}{b}]$, then it holds that*

$$
\|S(t)\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}=\|\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le C_{\vartheta,k}(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty},\tag{5.17}
$$

where $\xi_1>0$ is defined in Lemma 2.4 and the positive constant $C_{\vartheta,k}$ depending only on ϑ,k.

Proof. It is noted that

$$\varphi(t,x,p)=e^{-\nu(p)t}\varphi_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)+\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}K\varphi(s,x-\hat{p}(t-s),p)ds. \tag{5.18}$$

As in Vidav [52, 45], we iterate (5.18) again to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t,x,p)&=e^{-\nu(p)t}\varphi_0(x-\hat{p}t,p)+\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}K(p,q)e^{-\nu(q)s}\varphi_0(\hat{x}-\hat{q}s,q)dqds\\&\quad+\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}K(p,q)\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}K(q,\eta)\varphi(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)d\eta d\tau dqds\\&:=L_1+L_2+L_3,\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

where we have denoted $\hat{x}=x-\hat{p}(t-s)$. Firstly, it is straightforward to get that

$$|L_1|\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|\nu(p)^{-k}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{5.20}$$

For L_2, we decompose it to be

$$\begin{aligned}L_2&=\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{2|p|\ge|q|}K(p,q)e^{-\nu(q)s}\varphi_0(\hat{x}-\hat{q}s,q)dqds\\&\quad+\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\ge2|p|}K(p,q)e^{-\nu(q)s}\varphi_0(\hat{x}-\hat{q}s,q)dqds:=L_{21}+L_{22}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}|L_{21}|&\le\|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-c\nu(p)t}\int_{2|p|\ge|q|}|K(p,q)|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}(q)^{-1}dqds\\&\le C\|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-c\nu(p)t}p_0^{-\xi_1}\cdot\nu(p)^{1+k}ds\le C(1+t)^k\|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

To estimate L_{22}, for $|q|\ge2|p|$, we note

$$e^{-\frac{1}{20}|p-q|}\le e^{-\frac{1}{20}(|q|-|p|)}\le e^{-\frac{1}{40}|q|}\le C,$$

which yields immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}|L_{22}|&\le\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(q)t}\int_{|q|\ge2|p|}\left|K(p,q)e^{\frac{1}{20}|p-q|}\right|e^{-\frac{1}{20}|p-q|}dqds\\&\le\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(q)t}e^{-\frac{1}{40}|q|}\int_{|q|\ge2|p|}\left|K(p,q)e^{\frac{1}{20}|p-q|}\right|dqds\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Thus, it follows from (5.21)-(5.23) that

$$|L_2|\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}. \tag{5.24}$$

We now focus on the term L_3. As in the previous, we divide the proof into three cases.

Case 1. For $|p|\ge N$, it follows from (2.43) that

$$\begin{aligned}L_3&\le\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|K(p,q)|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|K(q,\eta)|\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}\varphi(\tau,\hat{y},\eta)d\tau d\eta dqds\\&\le C\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}K(p,q)q_0^{-\frac{b}{2}-\xi_1}\int_0^s\frac{e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}}{(1+\tau)^k}d\tau dqds\\&\le C\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\}\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}-\xi_1}\int_0^s\frac{(1+\tau)^k}{(1+s-\tau)^{1+\frac{2\xi_1}{b}}}d\tau\\&\le C\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\}p_0^{-\frac{\xi_1}{b}}\int_0^t(1+t-s)^{-1-\frac{\xi_1}{b}}(1+s)^{-k}ds\\&\le\frac{C}{N^{\frac{\xi_1}{b}}}(1+t)^{-k}\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\},\end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

where we have denoted $\hat{y} := \hat{x} - \hat{q}(s-\tau)$.

Case 2. For $|p| \le N, |q| \ge 2N$(or $|p| \le N, |q| \le 2N, |\eta| \ge 3N$), we have $|p-q| \ge N$(or $|q-\eta| \ge N$). Then it follows from (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \left\{ \int_{|p|\le N, |q|\ge 2N} + \int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\ge 3N} \right\} |K(p,q)K(q,\eta)| \int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)} \varphi(\tau, \hat{y}, \eta) d\tau d\eta dq ds \\
&\le e^{-\frac{N}{20}} \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \{(1+\tau)^k \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\} \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |K(p,q) e^{\frac{|p-q|}{20}}| dq \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |K(q,\eta) e^{-\frac{|q-\eta|}{20}}| d\eta d\tau \int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{-k} d\tau ds \\
&\le C e^{-\frac{N}{20}} (1+t)^{-k} \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \{(1+\tau)^k \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\}. \qquad (5.26)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3. For $|p| \le N, |q| \le 2N, |\eta| \le 3N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\le 3N} |K(p,q)K(q,\eta)| \int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)} \varphi(\tau, \hat{y}, \eta) d\tau d\eta dq ds \\
&\le \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} \int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\le 3N} |K(p,q)K(q,\eta)| \int_{s-\kappa}^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)} \varphi(\tau, \hat{y}, \eta) d\tau d\eta dq ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-c_N(t-s)} \int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\le 3N} |K(p,q)K(q,\eta)| \int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(s-\tau)} \varphi(\tau, \hat{y}, \eta) d\tau d\eta dq ds, \qquad (5.27)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\nu(p) \ge c_N \cong N^{-\frac{b}{2}}$ for $|p| \le N$, and $\nu(q) \ge c_N \cong N^{-\frac{b}{2}}$ for $|q| \le 2N$. A directly calculation shows that the first term on the RHS of (5.27) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\kappa \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \{(1+\tau)^k \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\} \int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)} (1+s)^{-k} p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}-\xi_1} ds \\
&\le C\kappa (1+t)^{-k} \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \{(1+\tau)^k \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\} \qquad (5.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we estimate the second term on the RHS of (5.27). Since $k(p,q)$ may have singularity of $|p-q|^{-1}$, as previously, we choose a smooth compact support function $\tilde{k}_N(p,q)$ such that

$$\sup_{|p|\le 3N} \int_{|q|\le 3N} \Big| k(p,q) - \tilde{k}_N(p,q) \Big| dq \le C N^{-2b-2}. \qquad (5.29)$$

Noting

$$\begin{aligned}
k(p,q)k(q,\eta) &= \Big(k(p,q) - \tilde{k}_N(p,q) \Big) k(q,\eta) \\
&\quad + \Big(k(q,\eta) - \tilde{k}_N(q,\eta) \Big) \tilde{k}_N(p,q) + \tilde{k}_N(p,q) \tilde{k}_N(q,\eta), \qquad (5.30)
\end{aligned}$$

and using (2.43), (5.29) and (5.30), we can bound the second term on the RHS of (5.27) by

$$\begin{aligned}
&N^{-2b-2} \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \Big\{ (1+\tau)^{-k} \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \Big\} \int_0^t e^{-c_N(t-s)} \int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(s-\tau)} (1+\tau)^{-k} d\tau ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t e^{-c_N(t-s)} \int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\le 3N} |\tilde{k}_N(p,q)\tilde{k}_N(q,\eta)| \int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(s-\tau)} |\varphi(\tau, \hat{x} - \hat{q}(s-\tau), \eta)| d\tau d\eta dq ds \\
&\le \frac{C}{N} (1+t)^{-k} \sup_{0\le s\le t} \Big\{ (1+\tau)^{-k} \|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \Big\} \\
&\quad + C_N \int_0^t \int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)} e^{-c_N(s-\tau)} \int_{|q|\le 2N, |\eta|\le 3N} |\varphi(\tau, \hat{x} - \hat{q}(s-\tau), \eta)| d\eta dq d\tau ds, \qquad (5.31)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that $\tilde{k}_N(p,q)\tilde{k}_N(q,\eta)$ is bounded. As in Section 4, using the changing of variables, one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C_N\int_0^t\int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}e^{-c_N(s-\tau)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|\varphi(\tau,\hat{x}-\hat{q}(s-\tau),\eta)|d\eta dq d\tau ds\\
&\le C_N\int_0^t\int_0^{s-\kappa} e^{-c_N(t-s)}e^{-c_N(s-\tau)}\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^2}d\tau\\
&\le C_N(1+t)^{-k}\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\Big\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^2}\Big\}\le C_N(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C_N(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.32}$$

where we have used $\vartheta>\frac{3}{2}$ and (5.16) with $\alpha=0$. Thus combining (5.27)-(5.32), one gets that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t e^{-\nu(p)(t-s)}\int_{|q|\le 2N,|\eta|\le 3N}|K(p,q)K(q,\eta)|\int_0^s e^{-\nu(q)(s-\tau)}\varphi(\tau,\hat{y},\eta)d\tau d\eta dq ds\\
&\le C\Big(\kappa+\frac{1}{N}\Big)(1+t)^{-k}\cdot\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\Big\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}+C_N(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.33}$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.25), (5.26) and (5.33) that

$$L_3\le C\Big[\kappa+\frac{1}{N^{\frac{\xi_1}{b}}}+\frac{1}{N}\Big](1+t)^{-k}\cdot\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\Big\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}+C_N(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}.\tag{5.34}$$

which, together with (5.20) and (5.24), yield that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big\{(1+s)^k\|\varphi(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}\\
&\le C\Big[\kappa+\frac{1}{N^{\frac{\xi_1}{b}}}+\frac{1}{N}\Big]\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\Big\{(1+\tau)^k\|\varphi(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}+C_N(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty}.
\end{aligned}$$

By first choosing κ small, then letting N sufficiently large so that $C\Big[\kappa+\frac{1}{N^{\frac{\xi_1}{b}}}+\frac{1}{N}\Big]\le\frac{1}{2}$, one obtains that

$$\|\varphi(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty},$$

for all $t\ge 0$. This yields immediately (5.17). Therefore we complete the proof of this lemma. □

Based on the above preparations, we begin to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: Using the semigroup $S(t)$ for the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation (5.1), by the Duhamel Principle, we have the solution formula for the nonlinear relativistic Boltzmann equation (1.16) as

$$f(t)=S(t)f_0+\int_0^t S(t-s)\Big\{\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}ds.$$

From now on, we take $k:=1+\frac{\xi_1}{b}>1$. Then it follows from (5.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty}&\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}f_0\|_{L^\infty}+C\int_0^t(1+t-s)^{-k}\Big\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\Big\{\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}\Big\|_{L^\infty}ds\\
&\le C(1+t)^{-k}\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}f_0\|_{L^\infty}+C\int_0^t(1+t-s)^{-k}\Big\|w_{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\Big\{\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}\Big\|_{L^\infty}ds.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.35}$$

Noting $0<\xi_1\le\frac14$ and taking $\vartheta=\frac74$, it follows from (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|(1+|p|)^{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\Big\{\Gamma(f,f)(s,x-\hat p(t-s),p)\Big\}\Big|\\
&\le C\|w_{\vartheta+\frac{\xi_1}{2}}f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|w_1f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\sup_{z\in\Omega}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\\
&\le C\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{\vartheta+\frac{\xi_1}{2}}{\beta}}\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{\vartheta+\frac{\xi_1}{2}}{\beta}}\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac1\beta\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}(1-\frac1\beta)}\sup_{z\in\Omega}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\\
&\le C\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{1-\frac{\vartheta+\frac{\xi_1}{2}}{\beta}+\frac{4d+1}{5d}(1-\frac1\beta)}\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{\vartheta+\frac{\xi_1}{2}}{\beta}+\frac{4d+1}{5d}\frac1\beta}\sup_{z\in\Omega}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\\
&\le C\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\sup_{z\in\Omega}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}},
\end{aligned}\tag{5.36}$$

where we have used $\frac{4d+1}{5d}(1-\frac1\beta)-\frac{\vartheta+\frac{\xi_1}{2}}{\beta}\ge0$ due to $\beta>14$. Then it follows from (5.36) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\int_0^t(1+t-s)^{-k}\Big\|(1+|p|)^{\vartheta+k\frac{b}{2}}\Big\{\Gamma(f,f)(s)\Big\}\Big\|_{L^\infty}ds\\
&\le C\int_0^t(1+t-s)^{-k}(1+s)^{-k}\\
&\qquad\times\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[(1+s)^k\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}ds\\
&\le C(1+t)^{-k}\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[(1+s)^k\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (4.60) and (5.35), yield that for $\beta>14$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{0\le s\le t}\Big\{(1+s)^k\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big\}\\
&\le C\|w_\beta f_0\|_{L^\infty}+\sup_{0\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\Big[(1+s)^k\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}\\
&\le C_4\bar M^4+C_4\sup_{1\le s\le t}\Big[(1+s)^r\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}\Big]\sup_{1\le s\le t,z\in\Omega}\Big\{\|w_\beta f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,z,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.37}$$

Then, using (4.58) and similar arguments as in (4.59), if ε_0 is small enough, one can obtain that

$$C_4\sup_{1\le s\le t,y\in\Omega}\Big\{\|f(s)\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{4d+1}{5d}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|f(s,y,\eta)|d\eta\Big)^{\frac{d-1}{5d}}\Big\}\le\frac12.\tag{5.38}$$

Substituting (5.38) into (5.37), one proves that for $\beta>14$,

$$\|f(t)\|_{L^\infty}\le2C_4\bar M^4(1+t)^{-k},\quad\forall\,t\ge0.\tag{5.39}$$

Taking

$$\tilde C_3=2C_4\bar M^4,$$

then we obtain (1.24) from (5.39). Therefore the proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed. □

6 Appendix

Lemma 6.1 (Glassey& Strauss [20]) *Let $R>r\ge0$, and define*

$$J_1(R,r):=\int_0^\infty ze^{-R\sqrt{1+z^2}}I_0(rz)dz,\quad J_2(R,r):=\int_0^\infty\frac{z}{\sqrt{1+z^2}}e^{-R\sqrt{1+z^2}}I_0(rz)dz.$$

Then it holds that

$$J_1(R,r)=\frac{R}{R^2-r^2}\Big[1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{R^2-r^2}}\Big]e^{-\sqrt{R^2-r^2}},\quad J_2(R,r)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{R^2-r^2}}e^{-\sqrt{R^2-r^2}}.\tag{6.1}$$

The following Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3 can be regarded as a refine version of [20]. These refined Lemmas play important roles in the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 6.2 *For l, j defined in (2.1), we have, for $\alpha \in [-2, 2]$, that*

$$K_\alpha(l,j) := \int_0^\infty z(1+z^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{4}} e^{-l\sqrt{1+z^2}} I_0(jz)dz \le C\frac{l^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(l^2-j^2)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{4}}} e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. From (6.1), we know that

$$K_{-2}(l,j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l^2-j^2}} e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}, \quad K_0(l,j) \le \frac{l}{l^2-j^2} e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}, \tag{6.3}$$

It follows from [20](Page 323) that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(l,j) &= (l^2-j^2)^{-\frac{5}{2}}\{[(l^2-j^2)+3(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}+3]l^2-(l^2-j^2)-(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}\}e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}} \\ &\le \frac{l^2}{(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Combining (6.3), (6.4) and Holder inequality, one can prove (6.2) directly. The details are omitted here. □

Lemma 6.3 *Let $0 < \gamma < 2$ and l, j be as in (2.1). For any given small $\varepsilon > 0$, it holds that*

$$I_\gamma(l,j) := \int_0^1 z^{1-\gamma} e^{-l\sqrt{1+z^2}} I_0(jz)dz \le C\frac{1}{(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{1}{2r}}} e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}, \tag{6.5}$$

where we choose $r := 1 + \varepsilon + \frac{\gamma}{2-\gamma}$ and $\frac{1}{2r} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{4} - \frac{2(2-\gamma)^2\varepsilon}{4(4+4\varepsilon-2\gamma\varepsilon)}$.

Proof. It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(l,j) &\le \Big(\int_0^1 z^{1-\frac{r}{r-1}\gamma} dz\Big)^{1-\frac{1}{r}} \Big(\int_0^1 z e^{-rl\sqrt{1+z^2}} I_0(jz)^r dz\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\le C_\gamma \Big(\int_0^1 z e^{-rl\sqrt{1+z^2}} I_0(jz)^r dz\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where we have used the fact $1 - \frac{r}{r-1}\gamma > -1$ in the last inequality. Noting

$$I_0(jz)^r \le \Big(\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{jz\cos\varphi} d\varphi\Big)^r \le C_r \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} e^{rjz\cos\varphi} d\varphi \le C_r I_0(rjz),$$

which, together with (6.6), yields that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\gamma(l,j) &\le C_\gamma \Big(\int_0^1 z e^{-rl\sqrt{1+z^2}} I_0(rjz)dz\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le C_\gamma \Big(\int_0^\infty z(1+z^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-rl\sqrt{1+z^2}} I_0(rjz)dz\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\le C_\gamma \Big(\frac{1}{r\sqrt{l^2-j^2}} e^{-r\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le C_\gamma \frac{1}{(l^2-j^2)^{\frac{1}{2r}}} e^{-\sqrt{l^2-j^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (6.2) with $\alpha = -2$. Therefore, the proof of Lemma 6.3 is completed. □

Lemma 6.4 *For any fixed $\vartheta \in \mathbb{R}$, it holds that*

$$(p_0+q_0)^\vartheta e^{-c|p-q|} \le C(p_0q_0)^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$(p_0q_0)^\vartheta e^{-c|p-q|} \le C p_0^{2\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}. \tag{6.8}$$

Proof. We divide it into three cases.
Case 1: $\frac{1}{2}|p| \le |q| \le 2|p|$. For this case, (6.7) and (6.8) are easy to obtain.
Case 2: $\frac{1}{2}|p| \ge |q|$. A directly calculation shows that

$$|p-q| \ge \frac{1}{2}|p-q| + \frac{1}{2}(|p|-|q|) \ge \frac{1}{2}|p-q| + \frac{1}{4}|p|,$$

which yields immediately that

$$(p_0+q_0)^{\vartheta} e^{-c|p-q|} \lesssim \begin{cases} p_0^{\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|p|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \ge 0 \\ q_0^{\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|p|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \le 0 \end{cases} \lesssim (p_0 q_0)^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|},$$

and

$$(p_0 q_0)^{\vartheta} e^{-c|p-q|} \lesssim \begin{cases} p_0^{2\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|p|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \ge 0 \\ e^{-\frac{c}{4}|p|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \le 0 \end{cases} \lesssim p_0^{2\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}.$$

Case 3: $\frac{1}{2}|q| \ge |p|$. A directly calculation shows that

$$|p-q| \ge \frac{1}{2}|p-q| + \frac{1}{2}(|q|-|p|) \ge \frac{1}{2}|p-q| + \frac{1}{4}|q|,$$

which yields immediately that

$$(p_0+q_0)^{\vartheta} e^{-c|p-q|} \lesssim \begin{cases} q_0^{\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|q|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \ge 0 \\ p_0^{\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|q|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \le 0 \end{cases} \lesssim (p_0 q_0)^{\frac{\vartheta}{2}} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|},$$

and

$$(p_0 q_0)^{\vartheta} e^{-c|p-q|} \lesssim \begin{cases} q_0^{2\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|q|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \ge 0 \\ p_0^{2\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{4}|q|} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}, & \text{for } \vartheta \le 0 \end{cases} \lesssim p_0^{2\vartheta} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|p-q|}.$$

Combining all the above estimates, we complete the proof of Lemma 6.4. □

Lemma 6.5 *Let* $0 \le \alpha < 3$, *it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|p-q|}}{[|p\times q| + |p-q|]^{\alpha}} dq \le \begin{cases} C_\alpha (1+|p|)^{-\alpha}, & \text{for } 0 \le \alpha < 2, \\ C(1+|p|)^{-2} \ln(1+|p|), & \text{for } \alpha = 2, \\ C_\alpha (1+|p|)^{-2}, & \text{for } \alpha > 2. \end{cases} \tag{6.9}$$

Proof. We need only to calculate the case for $|p| \ge 2$. Using the Polar coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{-|p-q|}}{[|p\times q| + |p-q|]^{\alpha}} dq &\lesssim \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r} r^{2-\alpha} dr \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{[1+|p|\sin\theta]^{\alpha}} d\theta \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\sin\theta}{[1+|p|\sin\theta]^{\alpha}} d\theta \lesssim \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin\theta}{[1+|p|\sin\theta]^{\alpha}} d\theta \\ &\lesssim -\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{d\cos\theta}{[1+|p|^2-|p|^2\cos^2\theta]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \lesssim \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{[1+|p|^2-|p|^2 y^2]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \\ &\cong \frac{1}{|p|^{\alpha}} \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{[1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}-y^2]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \cong \frac{1}{|p|^{\alpha}} \int_0^1 \frac{dy}{[\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 \le \alpha < 2$, a direct calculation shows that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dy}{[\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} = -\frac{1}{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \Big[\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y\Big]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Big|_0^1 \lesssim 1.$$

For $\alpha = 2$, one can obtains

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y} = -\ln(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y)\Big|_0^1 = \ln\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}} - \ln(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-1)$$
$$\leq \ln|p|.$$

For $2 < \alpha < 3$, a direct calculation shows that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{dy}{[\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y]^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} = -\frac{1}{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Big[\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-y\Big]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\Big|_0^1$$
$$\lesssim \Big[\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{|p|^2}}-1\Big]^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \lesssim |p|^{-2+\alpha}.$$

Combining the above estimates, we complete the proof of (6.9). □

Lemma 6.6 *For $0 \leq \alpha_1 < 3,\ \alpha_2 \geq 0$ and $\beta > 3+\alpha_1+\alpha_2$, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(1+|q|)^{-\beta}}{|p-q|^{\alpha_1}(1+|p-q|)^{\alpha_2}}dq \leq C(1+|p|)^{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2}. \tag{6.10}$$

Here we omit the proof of Lemma 6.6 since the it is standard.

Lemma 6.7 *Let* (1.24), (1.25) *hold, and $\gamma > -2, a \in [0, 2+\gamma]$, $b \in [0, \min\{4, 4+\gamma\})$. For any fixed $c > 0$ and $1 \leq d < \min\Big\{\frac{2}{\max\{-\gamma,1\}}, \frac{3}{\max\{b-1,1\}}\Big\}$, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi\sigma(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0}d\omega dq \cong \begin{cases}(p_0^{\frac{a}{2}})^d, \text{ for hard potentials},\\ (p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}})^d, \text{ for soft potentials},\end{cases} \tag{6.11}$$

which immediately yields

$$\nu(p) \cong \begin{cases}p_0^{\frac{a}{2}}, \text{ for hard potentials},\\ p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}}, \text{ for soft potentials}.\end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

Proof. Firstly, we calculate the the upper bound. It is noted that

$$\sigma(g,\theta) \lesssim \begin{cases}\sigma_a(g,\theta)+\sigma_b(g,\theta), \text{ for hard potentials},\\ \sigma_b(g,\theta), \text{ for hard potentials},\end{cases} \tag{6.13}$$

where $\sigma_a(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\sigma_b(\cdot,\cdot)$ are defined in (2.10) above. Thus we need only to calculate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi\sigma_a(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0}d\omega dq \ \text{ and } \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi\sigma_b(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0}d\omega dq. \tag{6.14}$$

Since $g \lesssim \sqrt{p_0q_0},\ s \lesssim p_0q_0$, we have

$$|v_\phi\sigma_a(g,\theta)|^d = \Big|\frac{\sqrt{s}}{p_0q_0}g^{1+a}\sin^\gamma\theta\Big|^d \lesssim (p_0q_0)^{\frac{da}{2}}\sin^{d\gamma}\theta,$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi\sigma_a(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0}d\omega dq \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(p_0q_0)^{\frac{da}{2}}e^{-cq_0}dq\int_0^\pi \sin^{1+d\gamma}\theta d\theta \lesssim p_0^{\frac{da}{2}}, \tag{6.15}$$

where we have used the fact $1+\gamma d > -1$.

To estimate the second part of (6.14), we divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1. For $0 \le b < 1$, it follows from $g \lesssim \sqrt{p_0 q_0},\ s \lesssim p_0 q_0$ that

$$|v_\phi \sigma_b(g,\theta)|^d = \Big|\frac{\sqrt{s}}{p_0 q_0} g^{1-b} \sin^\gamma \theta\Big|^d \lesssim (p_0 q_0)^{\frac{-b}{2}} \sin^{d\gamma}\theta,$$

which yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi \sigma_b(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0} d\omega dq \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (p_0 q_0)^{\frac{-db}{2}} e^{-cq_0} dq \int_0^\pi \sin^{1+d\gamma}\theta d\theta \lesssim p_0^{-\frac{db}{2}}. \tag{6.16}$$

Case 2. For $1 \le b < \min\{4, 4+\gamma\}$, using $g^{-1} \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{p_0 q_0}}{|p-q|},\ s \lesssim p_0 q_0$, we have

$$|v_\phi \sigma_b(g,\theta)|^d = \Big|\frac{\sqrt{s}}{p_0 q_0} g^{1-b} \sin^\gamma \theta\Big|^d \lesssim \frac{(p_0 q_0)^{\frac{d(b-2)}{2}}}{|p-q|^{d(b-1)}} \sin^{d\gamma}\theta,$$

which yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi \sigma_b(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0} d\omega dq \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(p_0 q_0)^{\frac{d(b-2)}{2}}}{|p-q|^{d(b-1)}} e^{-cq_0} dq \int_0^\pi \sin^{1+d\gamma}\theta d\theta \lesssim p_0^{-\frac{db}{2}}, \tag{6.17}$$

where we have used that fact $0 \le d(b-1) < 3$. Thus it follows from (6.16) and (6.17) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi \sigma_b(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0} d\omega dq \le p_0^{-\frac{db}{2}}. \tag{6.18}$$

Combining (6.13), (6.15) and (6.18), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0} d\omega dq \lesssim \begin{cases} (p_0^{\frac{a}{2}})^d, \text{ for hard potentials,} \\ (p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}})^d, \text{ for soft potentials,} \end{cases}$$

Next we calculate the lower bound. It follows from (2.5) and $s \lesssim p_0 q_0$ that

$$v_\phi \frac{g}{\sqrt{s}} g^a \gtrsim \frac{|p-q|^{2+a}}{(p_0 q_0)^{2+\frac{a}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad v_\phi \frac{g}{\sqrt{s}} g^{-b} \gtrsim \begin{cases} \frac{|p-q|^{2-b}}{(p_0 q_0)^{2-\frac{b}{2}}}, & \text{for } 0 \le b \le 2, \\ (p_0 q_0)^{-\frac{b}{2}}, & \text{for } b > 2, \end{cases}$$

which yield immediately that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{S}^2} |v_\phi \sigma(g,\theta)|^d e^{-cq_0} d\omega dq \gtrsim \begin{cases} (p_0^{\frac{a}{2}})^d, \text{ for hard potentials,} \\ (p_0^{-\frac{b}{2}})^d, \text{ for soft potentials.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we complete the proof of Lemma 6.7. □

Proof of Lemma 5.5: It follows from (1.17) that

$$\langle w_\vartheta^2 Lf, f\rangle = |w_\vartheta f|_\nu^2 - \langle w_\vartheta K f, w_\vartheta f\rangle. \tag{6.19}$$

Using (2.43) and Hölder inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle w_\vartheta K f, w_\vartheta f\rangle| &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_\vartheta(p) f(p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{w_\vartheta}(p,q) w_\vartheta(q) f(q) dq dp\Big| \\
&\le \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(p) |w_\vartheta(p) f(p)|^2 dp\Big)^{\frac12} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(p)^{-1} \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} k_{w_\vartheta}(p,q) w_\vartheta(q) f(q) dq\Big|^2 dp\Big)^{\frac12} \\
&\le C|w_\vartheta f|_\nu \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p_0^{-\xi_1} |k_{w_\vartheta}(p,q)| \cdot |w_\vartheta(q) f(q)|^2 dq dp\Big)^{\frac12} \\
&\le \frac14 |w_\vartheta f|_\nu^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nu(q) q_0^{-2\xi_1} |w_\vartheta(q) f(q)|^2 dq \\
&\le \frac14 |w_\vartheta f|_\nu^2 + C_R |I_{\le R} f|_{L^2}^2 + CR^{-2\xi_1} \int_{|q|\ge R} \nu(q) |w_\vartheta(q) f(q)|^2 dq \\
&\le \frac12 |w_\vartheta f|_\nu^2 + C_R |I_{\le R} f|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

where we have chosen $R \gg 1$ so that $CR^{-2\xi_1} \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Substituting (6.20) into (6.19), we get (5.15). Therefore, the proof of Lemma 5.5 is completed. □

Acknowledgments. Yong Wang is supported by NSFC Grant No. 11401565.

References

[1] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C. J. Xu, T. Yang, *The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: Qualitative properties of solutions.* Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **202**, 599-661 (2011).

[2] H. Andréasson, *Regularity of the gain term and strong L^1 convergence to equilibrium for the relativistic Boltzmann equation.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **27**(5), 1386-1405 (1996).

[3] K. Bichteler, *On the Cauchy problem of the relativistic Boltzmann equation.* Commun. Math. Phys. **4**, 352-364 (1967).

[4] M. Briant, Y. Guo, *Asymptotic stability of the Boltzmann equation with Maxwell boundary conditions.* arXiv:1511.01305.

[5] S. Calogero, *The Newtonian limit of the relativistic Boltzmann equation.* J. Math. Phys. **45**(11), 4042-4052 (2004).

[6] C. Cercignani, K. G. Medeiros, *The relativistic Boltzmann Equation: theory and applications*, Progress in Mathematical Physics, **22**, Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 2002.

[7] S.R. de Groot, W.A. van Leeuwen, Ch.G. van Weert, *Relativistic kinetic theory.* Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Co., 1980

[8] L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, *On the trend to global equilibrium for spatially inhomogeneous kinetic systems:The Boltzmann equation,* Invent. Math. **159**, 243-316 (2005).

[9] R.J. DiPerna, P.-L. Lions, *On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equation: Global existence and weak stability,* Ann. of Math. **130**, 321-366 (1989)

[10] J. J. Dijkstra, W. A. van Leeuwen, *Mathematical aspects of relativistic kinetic theory.* Phys.A **90**(3-4) 450-486 (1978)

[11] R. J. Duan, F. M. Huang, Y. Wang, T. Yang, *Global well posedness for the Boltzmann equation with large amplitude initial data.* arXiv: 1603.06037.

[12] M. Dudyński, *On the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation. II. Existence of hydro-dynamics*, J. Stat. Phys. **57**, 199-245 (1989).

[13] M. Dudyński, M.L. Ekiel-Jeżewska, *Causality of the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**(26), 2831-2834 (1985).

[14] M. Dudyński, M.L. Ekiel-Jeżewska, *Errata: Causality of the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation.* Investigación Oper. **6**(1), 22-28 (1985).

[15] M. Dudyński, M.L. Ekiel-Jeżewska, *On the linearized relativistic Boltzmann equation.I. Existence of solutions.* Commun. Math. Phys. **115**(4), 607-629 (1985).

[16] M. Dudyński, M.L. Ekiel-Jeżewska, *Global existence proof for relativistic Boltzmann equation.* J.Stat. Phys. **66**, 991-1001 (1992).

[17] R. T. Glassey, *The Cauchy problem in kinetic theory,* Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, 1996.

[18] R. T. Glassey, *Global solutions to the Cauchy problem for the relativistic Boltzmann equation with near-vacuum data.* Commun. Math. Phys. **264**, 705-724 (2006).

[19] R. T. Glassey, W.A. Strauss, *On the derivatives of the collision map of relativistic particles.* Trans.Th. Stat. Phys. **20**, 55-68 (1991).

[20] R. T. Glassey, W.A. Strauss, *Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Maxwellian.* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **29**(2), 301-347 (1993).

[21] R. T. Glassey, W.A. Strauss, *Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Maxwellian via fourteen moments.* Trans. Th. Stat. Phys. **24**(4-5), 657-678 (1995)

[22] P. Gressman, R. M. Strain, *Global classical solutions of the Boltzmann equation without angular cut-off.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**(3), 771-847 (2011).

[23] M. P. Gualdani, S. Mischler, C. Mouhot, *Factorization for non-symmetric operators and exponential H-theorem.* arXiv:1006.5523.

[24] Y. Guo, *The Vlasov-Poisson-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55**(9), 1104-1135 (2002).

[25] Y. Guo, *Classical solutions to the Boltzmann equation for molecules with an angular cutoff.* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **169**(4), 305-353 (2003).

[26] Y. Guo, *The Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system near Maxwellians.* Invent. Math. **153**(3), 593-630 (2003).

[27] Y. Guo, *The Boltzmann equation in the whole space,* Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53**, 1081-1094 (2004).

[28] Y. Guo, *Decay and continuity of the Boltzmann equation in Bounded domains*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. **197**, 713-809, (2010).

[29] Y. Guo, *Bounded solutions for the Boltzmann equation*, Quart. Appl. Math. **68**(1), 143-148 (2010)

[30] Y. Guo, R. M. Strain, *Momentum regularity and stability of the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell-Boltzmann system.* Comm. Math. Phys. **310**(3), 649-673 (2012).

[31] S. Y. Ha, Y. D. Kim, H. Lee, S. E. Noh, *Asymptotic completeness for relativistic kinetic equations with short-range interaction forces.* Meth. Appl. Anal. **14**, 251-262 (2007).

[32] L. Hsiao, H. Yu, *Asymptotic stability of the relativistic Maxwellian.* Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **29**(13), 1481-1499 (2006)

[33] J. W. Jang, *Global Classical Solutions to the Relativistic Boltzmann Equation without Angular Cut-off.* arXiv:1601.02704.

[34] Z. Jiang, *On the relativistic Boltzmann equation.* Acta Math. Sci.(EnglishEd.) **18**(3), 348-360 (1998).

[35] Z. Jiang, *On the Cauchy problem for the relativistic Boltzmann equation in a periodic box: global existence.* Transport Theory Statist. Phys. **28**(6), 617-628 (1999).

[36] A. Lichnerowicz, R. Marrot, *Propriétés statistiques des ensembles de particules en relativité restreinte.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **210**, 759-761 (1940).

[37] S. Q. Liu, H. J. Zhao, *Optimal large-time decay of the relativistic Landau-Maxwell system.* J. Differential Equations **256**(2), 832-857 (2014).

[38] T. Liu, T. Yang, S. H. Yu, *Energy method for the Boltzmann equation.* Physica D, **188**, 178-192 (2004).

[39] C.Mouhot, C.Villani, *Regularity theory for the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation with cut-off,* Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. **173**, 169-212 (2004).

[40] G. Rein, *Global weak solutions to the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell system revisited.* Commun. Math. Sci. **2**(2) 145-158 (2004).

[41] J. Speck, R. M. Strain, *Hilbert expansion from the Boltzmann equation to relativistic fluids.* Commun. Math. Phys. **304**(1), 229-280 (2011).

[42] J. M. Stewart, *Non-equilibrium relativistic kinetic theory.* Berlin, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971

[43] R. M. Strain, *Global Newtonian limit for the relativistic Boltzmann equation near vacuum.* SIAM J.Math. Anal. **42**(4), 1568-1601 (2010).

[44] R. M. Strain, *An energy method in collisional kinetic theory.* Ph.D. dissertation, Division of Applied Mathematics, Brown University, May 2005

[45] R. M. Strain, *Asymptotic Stability of the Relativistic Boltzmann Equation for the Soft Potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **300**, 529-597 (2010).

[46] R. M. Strain, Y. Guo, *Stability of the relativistic Maxwellian in a collisional plasma.* Commun. Math. Phys. **251**(2), 263-320 (2004).

[47] R. M. Strain, *Optimal time decay of the non cut-off Boltzmann equation in the whole space*, Kinetic and Related Models. **5**, 583-613 (2012).

[48] R. M. Strain, Y. Guo, *Exponential decay for soft potentials near Maxwellian*, Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. **187**(2008), 287-339.

[49] R. M. Strain, Y. Guo, *Almost exponential decay near Maxwellian.* Comm. Part. Diff. Eqs. **31**(13), 417-429 (2006).

[50] R. M. Strain, Y. Guo, *Exponential decay for soft potentials near Maxwellian.* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **187**(2), 287-339 (2008).

[51] S. Ukai and T. Yang, *The Boltzmann equation in the space $L^2 \cap L^\infty_\beta$: Global and time-periodic solutions,* Anal. Appl. **4**, 263-310 (2006).

[52] I. Vidav, *Spectra of perturbed semigroups with applications to transport theory,* J. Math. Anal. Appl., **30**, 264-279 (1970).

[53] B. Wennberg, *The geometry of binary collisions and generalized Radon transforms.* Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. **139**(3), 291-302 (1997).

[54] Q. H. Xiao, *Large-time behavior of the two-species relativistic Landau-Maxwell system in $\mathbb{R}^3_x$.* J. Differential Equations **259**(8), 3520-3558 (2015).

[55] T. Yang, H. J. Yu, *Hypocoercivity of the relativistic Boltzmann and Landau equations in the whole space.* J. Differential Equations **248**(6), 1518-1560 (2010).

[56] T. Yang, H. J. Yu, *Global solutions to the relativistic Landau-Maxwell system in the whole space.* J. Math. Pures Appl. **97**(6), 602-634 (2012)

[57] H. J. Yu, *Smoothing effects for classical solutions of the relativistic Landau-Maxwell system.* J. Differential Equations **246**(10), 3776-3817 (2009).